CA244 MA 100 -68565

Governo.
Publication

SPOT LIGHT On municipal finance

in Ontario, 1968

Digitized by the Internet Archive in 2022 with funding from University of Toronto

Government Publications

CA20N MA 100 -68565

SPOTLIGHT ON MUNICIPAL FINANCE IN ONTARIO 1968

The Municipal Finance Branch
ONTARIO DEPARTMENT OF MUNICIPAL AFFAIRS

THE HONOURABLE JOHN P. ROBARTS

Prime Minister of Ontario

THE HONOURABLE W. DARCY McKEOUGH
Minister of Municipal Affairs

Printed and Published by WILLIAM KINMOND

Queen's Printer and Publisher



FOREWORD

This first edition of SPOTLIGHT ON MUNICIPAL FINANCE IN ONTARIO presents highlights from the information contained in the 1968 SUMMARY OF FINANCIAL REPORTS OF MUNICIPALITIES. Its purpose is to provide you with important financial information in a brief, convenient form.

I am pleased that this new booklet is being introduced at the Ontario Provincial-Municipal Conference. It provides an overall picture of the financial operations of municipalities in Ontario. I hope it will be a convenient reference for delegates.

If you have any questions on the contents of SPOTLIGHT, please get in touch with my Department. I want this booklet to be of maximum use to you, so please do not hesitate to let us know if you have any suggestions for its improvement. I hope that future editions will be a little broader in scope, while still retaining the concise format.

March 23, 1970

Minister of Municipal Affairs.



CONTENTS

Pag	е
Foreword	3
Introduction	7
Commentary:	
General	8
Population	8
Households	9
Assessment	0
Analyses of Tax Roll	1
Analyses of Arrears	2
Statements of Revenue	3
Statements of Expenditures	3
Revenue Fund Balance Sheets	6
Capital Fund Balance Sheets	7
Statements of Source and Application of Capital Funds	7
Analyses of Net Long Term Liabilities and Debt Charges 1	9
Counties 2	0
Concluding Comment	11
List of Tables and Summaries 2	3



INTRODUCTION

The 1968 SUMMARY OF FINANCIAL REPORTS OF MUNICIPALITIES presented more accurate and meaningful information than that previously available in the old ANNUAL REPORTS OF MUNICIPAL STATISTICS. This improvement was due to a revised reporting procedure as well as to the method of summarizing the reported information. The 1968 SUMMARY discontinued the practice of reporting mill rates, details of exempt assessment, sinking funds and certain other items. Either the previously reported data was not meaningful or could be reported better elsewhere. This first edition of SPOTLIGHT does not discuss such details either.

The 1968 SUMMARY excludes a small number of municipalities because their annual reports had not been received in time for publication. The population of these municipalities collectively represented less than one percent of the Total. In order to be consistent, such information is not included in SPOTLIGHT.

The following pages of SPOTLIGHT are reproduced as they appear in the 1968 SUM-MARY — except necessary page reference changes, et cetera, and the minor change noted on page 8.

For a comprehensive review of public sector finance in Ontario the reader is referred to Budget Paper B of the 1970 Provincial Budget, issued by the Treasurer of Ontario on March 31, 1970. This paper sets out expenditures and transfers in the entire public sector analysed among the Federal, Provincial and Local Government sectors.

It should be noted that the figures contained in SPOTLIGHT ON MUNICIPAL FINANCE IN ONTARIO 1968 will not correspond to the figures contained in Budget Paper B. For example, provincial conditional grants (or specific subsidies) to municipalities are shown in SPOTLIGHT as \$240 million while being reflected in the Budget Paper as \$338 million. Differences such as this are due to a number of reasons including:

- 1. The fact that the Budget Paper deals with the entire local sector. Local boards, commissions and other local agencies such as Conservation Authorities, Homes for the Aged and District Welfare Units are reported in SPOTLIGHT only in the amount of the requisitions levied on municipalities. The Budget Paper presents a much fuller account of the operations of these bodies.
- 2. The difference in reporting years. The Budget figures are for the fiscal year April 1 to March 31, whereas the figures in SPOTLIGHT are in respect of the municipal reporting year, January 1 to December 31.
- 3. The difference in reporting format. A difference between capital and operating costs is made in the municipal sector. In the provincial accounts, there is no corresponding distinction. Thus items reflected as operating expenditures in the Budget Paper may appear in either the capital or operating categories in SPOTLIGHT.

COMMENTARY

GENERAL

Ontario contains about 10% of Canada's land area, 35% of its population, 37% of its workforce and 40% of its Gross National Product. Most of Ontario's people live and work around the western end of Lake Ontario; along the 100 miles of lakeshore stretching from Oshawa to the Niagara Peninsula. This population belt is about 25 miles deep. The province's very important primary industries are located well to the north of this concentration of population.

ANALYSES OF POPULATION, HOUSEHOLDS AND ASSESSMENT

1 Population

The **Population** of a municipality, as used in this publication means: **the total population permanently residing in the municipality at the time of the 1968 assessment**—less those resident in institutions, defence establishments and Indian reserves.

Municipal Assessors are required, by statute, to take an annual census of the population as part of their duties.

Unless otherwise noted, the above definition is assumed in all references to population. However, it should be remembered that the definition refers only to municipally organized areas. Nevertheless, 94.5% of the total estimated population of Ontario is found in municipalities.

The annual reports of municipalities show a population of 6,904,219 for 1968. This figure reflects an increase of 2.16% for the year — slightly greater than the 1.88% increase in 1967. Growth during the past five years has been 11.5%, a slight decline from 12.5% for the previous five-year period. Year to year changes can be seen by looking at Table 1 ONTARIO POPULATION 1958-1968 in the Statistical Appendix (Page 24). This Table also shows the Dominion Bureau of Statistics figures for the entire province over the same period. The Dominion Bureau of Statistics estimated the population of Ontario at 7,306,000 on June 1, 1969. A comparison confirms the fact that municipal figures closely resemble those of the Bureau in reflecting population growth — even though the two systems use slightly different bases of counting, area and time of year to arrive at their results.

Of the total 1968 population increase of 146,944, Metropolitan Toronto accounted for 27,246 (a 1.5 per cent increase), the counties adjacent to Metro 26,526 (a 5.3 per cent increase) and the remaining cities of Ontario 57,057 (a 2.6 per cent increase). These increases accounted for 75 per cent of the province's total population growth in 1968*

According to the **Ontario Statistical Review of 1968**, published in June 1969 by the Department of Treasury and Economics, the Ontario birth rate has dropped substantially over the past ten years. The 1958 rate was 26.2 per thousand — in 1968 the rate was only 17.4 per thousand.

The death rate has also dropped over the past ten years. The same source shows the

^{*}Changed from that in 1968 Summary Of Financial Reports Of Municipalities (Page vii.)

1958 rate as 8.4 per thousand; that of 1968 as 7.4% — a less marked decline than that of births.

Movement into and out of the province cannot be measured precisely. But immigration figures in the **Statistical Review** showed that in 1968 more than 96,000 settled in Ontario, a drop from the previous three year average of 108,000. Of the 1968 immigrants, those of working age totalled 50,000.

These statistics have important implications for those concerned with the provision of municipal services. The indices point up the fact that the trend toward a steadily younger average age in Ontario will give way to a steadily aging population at some future point. The most significant change is a drop in the proportion of the population under five years of age from 10.5% to 8.4%. However, Table II ANALYSIS OF POPULATION BY MAIN AGE GROUPS still indicates a lowering of the average age in 1968 compared to that in 1963. (Page 24.)

2 Households

With the introduction of the Residential Property Tax Reduction Program, information on households in municipalities became available. A household is defined, by both The Assessment Act and The Residential Property Tax Reduction Act, as a single family dwelling unit — or a portion of a building which is a domestic establishment — consisting of two or more rooms in which the occupants usually eat, sleep and prepare meals.

2,172,678 households were reported for 1968, according to claims submitted by municipalities for reimbursement under the Residential Property Tax Reduction scheme. Related to population, Ontario households had, on average, 3.14 occupants. When broken down by type of municipality, the figures look like this:

TABLE A

HOUSEHOLDS

	Number	Persons per Household	As Percentage of Total
Metropolitan Toronto Area	588,020	3.19	27.1
Cities	676,500	3.39	31.2
Towns	300,530	3.51	13.8
Villages	61,473	2.86	2.9
Townships	540,779	2.75	24.9
Improvement Districts	5,376	3.32	.1
	2,172,678	3.14	100.0

3 Assessment

Comprehensive Assessment information is shown on page 28. In order to present this information in a meaningful way it has been necessary to present three sets of figures. In the order of their appearance they are:

- (a) 'Assessment used for 1968 Taxes': is the net assessment upon which taxes have been imposed in 1968. In some cases municipalities collect school taxes for areas outside their jurisdiction. In such cases, this assessment is included.
- (b) 'Provincial Equalized Taxable Assessment': is needed because municipalities assess at varying percentages of market value. The Department of Municipal Affairs has accordingly established a system of equalization factors. These factors when applied to taxable assessment are designed to show the total assessment of the municipality had it been assessed at market value. This device enables more meaningful comparisons to be made between municipalities.
- (c) 'Analysis of 1967 Assessment as per the Return of Assessment': this data analyses assessment by showing the assessment relating to various classes of property within a municipality. There are minor discrepancies between the total assessment as per the Return of Assessment and that of 'Assessment used for 1968 taxes'. The reason is that the figures included under (c) are based on information provided by Assessors immediately after the closing of the assessment rolls which figures are subsequently adjusted, as a result of appeals etc., prior to the striking of mill rates.

The discussion of revenue includes data showing portions of local taxation paid in 1968 by various classes of taxpayers relative to their respective portions of the assessment base.

The following data is of interest:

TABLE B

1968 PROVINCIAL EQUALIZED TAXABLE ASSESSMENT — PER CAPITA —

Metropolitan Toronto Area	\$9,433	Villages	\$4,802
Cities	\$6,495	Townships	\$6,197
Towns	\$6,394	Improvement Districts	\$5,647

All local municipalities \$7,168

ANALYSES OF TAX ROLL

The Tax Roll data on page 29 shows the major components of local taxation. The purposes for which these taxes were raised are:

(a) General Taxation: the levy applied to provide funds to meet the costs of the general services of a municipality; it includes the municipal levy, the county levy, special charges and area rates.

The county levy is not applied to cities, separated towns and municipalities in the northern districts. Metropolitan Toronto applies a metropolitan levy on its constituent municipalities. Special charges are principally charges for local improvements to specified taxpayers; where a municipality levies sewer rates and drainage charges, they are also included.

- (b) Levies for School Boards:
 - (i) levies for secondary school purposes which are imposed on the same taxpayers as general taxation.
 - (ii) levies for public school purposes and levies for separate school purposes which are imposed on taxpayers according to the school system supported.
- (c) Miscellaneous Charges: items placed on the tax roll for collection purposes.

Miscellaneous charges include such items as utility arrears and fees for agricultural organizations. In 1968, miscellaneous charges totalled \$4.9 million. They are included in the 'Total Tax Roll Per Capita' figures, but not in 'Total Taxation Revenue'.

(d) Adjustments to the Tax Roll: these are the result of calculations made to meet provisions for minimum tax levies, and to meet provisions for maximum taxation on certain specialized properties.

These adjustments — which involve relatively small amounts of money — are included in the total taxation figure. 'Taxes Written Off' and 'Discounts Allowed' are not reported under this heading, but on the 'Statement of Expenditure'.

(e) The Residential Property Tax Reduction program was introduced in 1968. For the purposes of analysis, it is important to understand certain basic considerations of the program.

It was intended that the burden of taxes paid by householders would be reduced. The reduction was shown on individual tax bills as a decrease in the amount of total taxes to be paid. However, for the purposes of financial reporting, the Residential Property Tax Reduction has been treated as a municipal grant from the Province (see 'Statements of Revenue'). It is reflected as a reduction in taxation revenue which can thus be considered as having been reported net.

In 1968, total taxation revenue was \$1,169,457,699 — a 1.5% increase over the corresponding 1967 figure. The small increase is due to the Residential Property Tax Reduction grant of \$109,192,173, without which the increase of 1968 taxation revenue over 1967 would have been 10.9%.

Trends in total taxation are shown in Table C below.

TABLE C

TRENDS IN TOTAL TAXATION

Year	Current Dollars (\$000)	Annual Change	Constant Dollars (S000)	Annual Change	Related to Disposable Income
1968	1,169,458	1.4	753,191	(2.6)	6.66%
1967	1,152,277	17.6	773,293	13.6	7.10
1966	979,958	11.6	680,973	7.6	6.57
1965	877,769	9.0	632,784	6.4	6.46
1964	805,237	8.1	544,694	6.2	6.45%

In 1940, the first year for which data is available, local taxation represented 5.74% of Personal Disposable Income. During the war years, the relationship dropped reaching a level of 3.34% in 1946. For the next twenty years local taxation increased relative to Personal Disposable Income reaching a level of 7.10% in 1967. The table above shows the beneficial effect of the Residential Property Tax Reduction Program. In 1968, total taxation in terms of constant dollars dropped 2.6% and as a percentage of disposable income it fell to 6.66%.

Trends in total taxation, expressed in current dollars, within the conventional types of local municipality classification can be seen in Table III TOTAL TAXATION 1964-1968. (Page 25.)

ANALYSES OF ARREARS

Tax arrears have been analysed by year net of penalties and interest. Such a presentation reflects the true arrears position of the receivables unclouded by penalties and interest the rates of which vary from municipality to municipality.

In 1968 tax arrears totalled \$96.1 million, a decrease of 5.5% from the position at the end of the previous year. Accordingly, arrears per capita dropped to \$13.92 in 1968 from \$15.06 in 1967.

TABLE D

TAX ARREARS POSITION

Year	Total Arrears (\$000)	Annual Change — % —	Total Arrears Per Capita	Total Arrears as % of Total Tax Roll
1968	96,126	(5.5)	13.92	8.2
1967	101,736	8.5	15.06	8.8
1966	93,691	7.2	14.13	9.5
1965	87,193	2.5	13.42	9.9
1964	84,590	4.1	13.34	10.5

TABLE E

ANALYSIS OF ARREARS

	Per Capita	As % of Total Tax Roll	Allowance for Uncollectable Taxes as % of Total Arrears
Metropolitan Toronto Area	\$13.30	6.02	13.14
Cities	\$10.14	5.96	11.38
Towns	\$13.16	9.38	5.18
Villages	\$17.35	17.78	1.52
Townships & Imp. Districts	\$20.62	20.16	3.33
Total	\$13.92	8.19	8.03

The above table indicates that a wide range of variation in arrears positions exists between municipalities. This is, in part, due to variations in both billing and collection policies and procedures. These variations are also implicit in data on current collections as a percentage of the total tax roll. Such statistics are shown in Table IV. (Page 26.)

STATEMENTS OF REVENUE

The sources of revenues for all local municipalities are shown on page 15. Property taxation is the largest component accounting for \$1,169,457,699. The portions of such taxation provided by various classes of taxpayers are shown on Table V. (Page 26.) Contributions from other governments totalled \$340.2 million, up some 63% over the \$209.7 million in 1967. The vast majority of such contributions, (which incidently are all for operating purposes), came from the Province. Provincial figures exclude operating grants to bodies such as school boards, homes for the aged, health units, conservation authorities, etc.

In addition to subsidies paid to municipalities for operating expenditures, the Province also paid subsidies towards the cost of their capital projects. Further contributions are made to counties and to school boards. These are summarized in Table F.

It is noted that the province paid contributions equal to 93.5% of total local taxation in 1968. This percentage should be compared with 78.9% in 1967, 60.1% five years ago, and 57.4% a decade ago.

STATEMENTS OF EXPENDITURE

The reporting structure produces a comprehensive picture of municipal expenditures which are functionally classified into the main headings within 'General

PROVINCIAL GRANTS IN RELATION TO LOCAL TAXATION

GRANTS: *	Millions
A. To Local Municipalities: Operating grants	\$ 287.8
Payments in lieu of taxes	13.0
Capital grants	61.1
	361.9
B. To Counties: Operating and Capital grants	51.1
C. Education Grants (Fiscal Year 1968/69)	678.7
TOTAL GRANTS**	\$1,078.7
Total Land Tourtion	
Total Local Taxation	\$1,169.4
Total Grants as a percentage of Total Local Taxation	93.5%

^{*}Grants as received by local municipalities in calendar year 1968, except in the case of Education Grants.

Municipal Activities', as shown in Table G. Also shown are payments to counties and local contributions to education.

When reviewing such data it should be noted that 'contributions to boards or commissions', 'charges for net long term liabilities', 'contributions to reserve funds', 'provisions for reserves and allowances' and 'contributions from the revenue fund for capital expenditures' are all classified by function. This presentation more accurately reflects the real outlays for each function.

A Consolidated Summary of Revenue and Expenditure for municipalities, excluding counties, is presented in Table G.

The inclusion of capital charges within each function significantly alters the pattern of general municipal expenditures. 'Public Works' and 'Sanitation and Waste Removal' collectively become quite significant. In 1968 they accounted for 33 per cent of all expenditures on general municipal activities in the Metropolitan Toronto Area, an average of 40 per cent in cities and an average of 46 per cent in all remaining municipalities. TABLE VI, EXPENDITURE PATTERNS WITHIN MAIN MUNICIPAL GROUPS (Page 27) shows the relative importance of all types of expenditures, including local contributions to education.

The results of local municipalities Revenue Fund operations are summarized in Table H below. In many instances deficiencies are planned so as to reduce a surplus accumulated as at the start of a year.

^{**}Excluded from total are Grants to other entities such as local boards, Conservation Authorities, etc.

TABLE G

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENT OF REVENUE AND EXPENDITURE FOR LOCAL MUNICIPALITIES* (\$ MILLIONS)

REVENUE

TAXATION Residential & Farm Commercial & Industrial Business Special Charges	\$623.7 367.5 148.9 29.3	\$1,169.4
CONTRIBUTIONS FROM OTHER GOVERNMENTS Payments in Lieu Subsidies — Ontario	47.4	⊕1,103. 4
Residential Property Tax Reduction Unconditional Roadways	109.2 42.0 69.4	
General Welfare & Assistance to Children All Other	46.8	
All Other	335.2 5.0	340.2
OTHER REVENUE TOTAL REVENUE		82.4 \$1,592.0
EXPENDITURE		
GENERAL MUNICIPAL ACTIVITIES General Government Protection to Persons and Property Public Works Sanitation and Waste Removal Conservation of Health Social & Family Services Recreation and Community Services Community Planning and Development Financial Expenses Other	\$ 85.0 184.0 239.2 104.3 30.9 82.1 87.5 15.3 31.2 31.1	\$ 890.6
COUNTY — SHARE OF EXPENDITURE EDUCATION — LOCAL CONTRIBUTIONS		50.3
Public Schools Separate Secondary Other	310.2 42.1 284.3 2.3	638.9
TOTAL EXPENDITURE		\$1,579.8
SURPLUS		\$ 12.2
*Excludes Counties.		

TABLE H

1968 EXCESS (DEFICIENCY) OF REVENUE OVER EXPENDITURE

		xcess	Def	iciency	Net
	Number	Amount	Number	Amount	Amount
Metropolitan Toronto Area	7	\$ 8,623,933			\$ 8,623,933
Cities	21	1,940,107	11	\$ 808,642	1,131,465
Towns*	73	1,936,275	78	1,429,577	506,698
Villages	101	632,735	47	362,443	270,292
Townships**	346	3,900,550	214	2,247,255	1,653,295
	<u> </u>	@47.022.000	250		A 40.405.000
	548	\$17,033,600	350	\$4,847,917	\$12,185,683

^{*}Includes Separated Towns.

Table VII provides a picture of the 1968 Consolidated Revenue Fund operations for all local municipalities including counties (Page 27). The item 'Contributions for Education' can be compared with 'Education Levies' shown in previous **Annual Reports**. In 1968 such contributions accounted for 39.2% of consolidated expenditures compared with 35.6% in 1967 and 31.7% in 1959.

REVENUE FUND BALANCE SHEETS

Receivables are shown on net of allowances. This is in line with treatment of both, 'Taxes Receivable' and 'Property Acquired For Taxes'. Another change provides for 'Inventories' to be reported as a distinct item. The comparisons, which can be made with 1967 data, follow below.

At the end of 1968, 'Cash' and 'Investments' totalled \$90.1 million, an increase of \$13.4 million over the 1967 position. 'Taxes Receivable', however, showed a decrease of \$5.6 million from the 1967 level.

Receivables from the province increased from \$50.1 to \$59.1 million presumably reflecting the increase in grants for operating purposes during the year.

As for liabilities. 'Bank Overdrafts and Temporary Loans' remained virtually unchanged, one year end to the next, at the \$58 million level. There was a \$14 million rise in 'Other Accounts Payable and Accrued Liabilities' to \$82.1 million at year end 1968.

^{**}Includes Improvement Districts.

Reserves rose from \$62,921,613 to \$70,302,346. Municipalities, other than cities and municipalities in the Toronto Metropolitan area, accounted for more than 55% of this total increase.

On the other hand, municipalities in the Metropolitan Toronto area accounted for virtually all the increase in surplus recorded in 1968.

CAPITAL FUND BALANCE SHEETS

'Future Recoveries From Levies and Rates' represent the amount of long term liabilities recoverable from municipal enterprises, school boards and other local boards. Other items included are liabilities repayable by landowners and advances for capital expenditure made pending permanent financing.

'Non-recurring Expenses To Be Levied In Future Years' includes other items for which long term liabilities have been issued such as grants to universities and hospitals.

'Fixed Assets' includes expenditures for work in progress on the municipality's behalf but not that of local boards.

The Capital Fund Balance Sheets do not lend themselves to ratio analyses; they can be better understood through a discussion of 'Statements of Source and Application of Capital Funds' and a review of 'Net Long Term Liabilities'.

STATEMENTS OF SOURCE AND APPLICATION OF CAPITAL FUNDS

Information for all local municipalities has been summarized in Table J which shows all the main sources of funds and how they were used.

The table reflects the trends towards greater dependence on senior levels of government for the capital finance required for local purposes. The most significant single source of funds is the Ontario Education Capital Aid Corporation, to which the municipalities and school boards sold debentures totalling a little more than \$150 million.

Sales of long term liabilities to the public accounted for 26.2% of all sources. The \$133.2 million involved represented about 30% of all such financing done by Canadian municipalities in 1968. Most of the \$133 million was placed in Canada with only some \$12 million of such liabilities being placed in the United States. In 1968 the Municipality of Metropolitan Toronto sold issues for a total par value of \$46 million while issues of a further 10 other large cities accounted for \$40.3 million.

Contributions from other governments provided 15.9% of all capital. This source was largely accounted for by capital grants from the province.

Other sources accounted for 17.6% of capital funds. More than half these sources represent contributions from revenue funds which totalled \$49 million. Reserves and reserve funds provided a further \$24.4 million. The balance \$15.8 million came from a variety of sources.

TABLE J

STATEMENT OF SOURCE & APPLICATION OF CAPITAL FUNDS SUMMARY FOR THE YEAR 1968 LOCAL MUNICIPALITIES

SOURCES

LONG TERM LIABILITIES			
Issued to Ontario	\$157.2		31.0%
The Public	133.2		26.2
Canada	13.6		2.7
		\$303.9	59.9%
CONTRIBUTIONS			
From Ontario	\$ 61.2		12.1%
Canada	16.8		3.3
Municipalities	2.7		.5
		\$ 80.7	15.9%
OTHER SOURCES			
Revenue Fund	\$ 49.0		9.7%
Other	40.2		7.9
		\$ 89.2	17.6
Excess of Applications		\$473.8	93.4%
Over Sources*		\$ 33.7	6.6%
		\$507.5	100.0%
APPLICATIONS			
CAPITAL EXPENDITURES			
General Government	\$ 8.3		1.60/
Protection to Persons and Property	9 8.3		1.6%

APPLICATIONS	5		
CAPITAL EXPENDITURES			
General Government	\$ 8.3		1.6%
Protection to Persons and Property	8.3		1.6
Public Works	164.5		32.4
Sanitation and Waste Removal	64.3		12.7
Recreation & Community Services	23.1		4.5
Community Planning and Development	23.7		4.7
Other	16.2		3.2
		\$308.4	60.8%
CAPITAL TRANSFERS			
Schools	\$142.1		28.0%
Other	47.0		9.3
		\$189.1	37.3%
OTHER		\$ 10.0	1.9%

^{*}Representing the increase in the net balance of funds not permanently financed at December 31, 1968 versus the year earlier figure.

\$507.5

100.0%

NOTE: Millions of dollars, slight discrepancies due to rounding.

Capital Expenditures exceeded such financing by \$33.7 million, the balance being provided for by an increase in the net balance of funds not permanently financed at December 31, 1968 versus the year earlier figure.

Over 60% of all funds raised were spent on municipalities' capital expenditures. Such capital expenditures are classified and reported by function — the same functions as used for operating expenditures. These functions have been condensed in Table J.

'Public Works' and 'Sanitation and Waste Removal' accounted for about three quarters of all municipal capital expenditures.

Capital Transfers represent financing undertaken by municipalities on behalf of other boards. The majority of such financing represented funds raised for and then transferred to school boards. Of the \$142.1 million so raised, \$92.3 million was for public school purposes, the remaining \$49.8 million for secondary schools. Municipal Enterprises received \$37.7 million of the remaining \$47.0 million of capital transfers.

ANALYSES OF NET LONG TERM LIABILITIES AND DEBT CHARGES

'Net Long Term Liabilities' refers to the effective debt position of a local municipality. This term is to be distinguished from the nominal debt position indicated by the term 'Long Term Liabilities'.

'Long Term Liabilities' are adjusted to reflect debt obligations assumed by or from other municipalities and to reflect sinking fund actuarial requirements in arriving at 'Net Long Term Liabilities'.

At year end 1967 Net Long Term Liabilities of local municipalities were estimated at \$2,420.0 million. At year end 1968 the figure reported was \$2,510.7 million. This increase of some \$91 million which indicates an increase of 3.9% is reconciled as follows:

	\$ MILLIONS
Net Long Term Liabilities, December 31, 1967	2,420.0
Add: Long Term Liabilities issued in 1968	303.9
Less: Principal repayments in 1968	160.7
Estimated Increase in sinking funds and O.W.R.C.	
debt retirement funds	52.5
Net Long Term Liabilities, December 31, 1968	2,510.7

The estimate of \$2,420.0 million in Net Long Term Liabilities at year end 1967 can be reconciled with data on certain pages in the **1967 Annual Report of Municipal Statistics** as follows:

	\$ MILLIONS
'Gross Debenture Debt Issued and Assumed' (page xxiii)	2,633.2
Less: 'Reserves for Retirement of Debentures' (page 184)	231.9
Add: Estimated Other Long Term Liabilities	18.7
Estimated Net Long Term Liabilities, December 31, 1967	2,420.0

Each municipality's Net Long Term Liabilities have been analysed under three headings: 'General Municipal Activities', 'Municipal Enterprises' and 'School Boards'. These obligations can be expressed as percentages of each municipality's 'Provincial Equalized Taxable Assessment'. In this way, a fairly meaningful comparison can be made between the effective debt positions of various municipalities. As a further measurement of comparison, 'Net Long Term Liabilities Per Capita' is also given.

TABLE K

OBLIGATION BY FUNCTION AS A PERCENT OF EQUALIZED ASSESSMENT

	General Municipal Activities	Municipal Enterprises	School Boards	Total	Net Long Term Liabilities Per Capita
Metropolitan Toronto Area	3.01	1.10	1.71	5.82	\$549.06
Cities	2.81	0.84	1.75	5.40	350.93
Towns	1.65	0.89	2.24	4.77	305.39
Villages	1.17	0.95	2.23	4.35	208.98
Townships	0.49	0.42	2.49	3.40	210.75
Improvement Districts	1.32	0.31	2.54	4.17	235.53
All Municipalities	2.26	0.86	1.95	5.07	363.64

COUNTIES

County municipalities have the same reporting structure as those of local municipalities. The presentation format for counties is therefore as close as possible to that for other municipalities. Any differences are due to the different structure of counties and — to a lesser degree — to the difference in the nature of their activities.

The 'Provincial Equalized Taxable Assessment' figures shown are merely the addition of such figures shown for the constituent local municipalities elsewhere in the SUMMARY. These figures are not used by counties in their calculations nor are such figures reported in counties' financial statements.

In 1968 total revenues of all counties slightly exceeded \$97 million. The largest component, \$50.3 million represented the levy on local municipalities. The other major source of revenue, some \$40.5 million was represented by 'Contributions from other Governments'. The principal component of such contributions was the \$35.2 million in provincial roadways grants.

Principal county expenditures were:

Public Works	\$61.5 million	64.8%
Social & Family Services	11.1 "	11.7
General Government	9.8 ''	10.3
Conservation of Health	6.9 ''	7.3
All Other Expenditures	5.6 ''	5.9
	\$94.9 million	100.0%

The composite Revenue Fund Balance Sheet for counties shows little change from the position at the end of 1967. 'Cash' and 'Investments' were down to \$4.1 million. The largest single item, 'Accounts Receivable from the Province' stood at \$18.8 million — a rise of \$2 million. Despite this increase, it is noted that 'Bank Overdrafts and Temporary Loans' dropped by some \$1 million to \$18 million. Two out of every three counties use reserves, another useful financial management tool. At the end of 1968 the surplus position for all counties stood at \$4.2 million.

The Capital Fund Balance Sheet is prepared on a basis compatible to that used for local municipalities.

The summary of Statements of Source and Application of Capital Funds indicates that counties raised \$25.9 million in permanent financing in 1968. New long term liabilities totalled \$6 million of which \$4.1 million represented debentures sold to the public. 'Contributions from other Governments' totalled \$10.8 million virtually all accounted for by provincial subsidies. All remaining sources of permanent financing totalled \$9.1 million.

Capital Expenditures totalled \$21.4 million of which \$14.6 million was spent on Public Works (principally roads). A further \$5.4 million was spent on 'Social & Family Services' functions. All other expenditures approximated \$1.4 million. Capital Transfers to school boards totalled \$2.7 million. All remaining applications totalled \$2.1 million.

Applications exceeded permanent financing by \$282,000. Accordingly, the net amount of funds not permanently financed rose from one year end to the other by that figure.

CONCLUDING COMMENT

I hope that this new publication will provide a needed service to all who are interested in municipal finance in Ontario. The Department welcomes both constructive criticisms of the content and suggestions for its improvement. Enquiries about the contents should be made to the Municipal Finance Branch, Department of Municipal Affairs, 801 Bay Street, Toronto.

W. H. PALMER
Deputy Minister



LIST OF TABLES AND SUMMARIES

Tables

١	Ontario Population 1958-1968	12
11	I Analysis of Population by Main Age Groups	12
111	Total Taxation 1964-1968	12
IV	Current Collections	13
V	Comparisons of Assessment to Taxation	13
VI	Expenditure Patterns of Main Municipal Groups	14
VII	Consolidated Statement of Revenue and Expenditure	14
1968	SUMMARIES	
1 .	Analyses of Population, Households and Assessment	28
2 .	Analyses of Tax Rolls	29
3	Statements of Revenue	31
4 :	Statements of Expenditure	33
5	Statements of Source and Application of Capital Funds 34,	35
6	Revenue Fund Balance Sheets	37
7	Capital Fund Balance Sheets	39
8	Analyses of Net Long Term Liabilities 40,	41
9	Analyses of Arrears	42

TABLE I

ONTARIO POPULATION 1958-1968

	Reported By Assessor	Increase	º/o	Total <u>Province*</u>	Increase	0/0
1968	6,904,291	146,944	2.17	7,306,000	157,000	2.20
1967	6,757,347	124,417	1.88	7,149,000	188,000	2.70
1966	6,632,930	136,118	2.10	6,961,000	173,000	2.55
1965	6,496,812	154,416	2.43	6,788,000	157,000	2.37
1964	6,342,396	151,117	2.44	6,631,000	150,000	2.31
1963	6,191,279	144,356	2.39	6,481,000	130,000	2.05
1962	6,046,923	118,551	2.00	6,351,000	115,000	1.84
1961	5,928,372	119,394	2.06	6,236,000	125,000	2.05
1960	5,808,978	126,640	2.23	6,111,000	142,000	2.38
1959	5,682,338	178,255	3.24	5,969,000	148,000	2.54
1958	5,504,083			5,821,000		

^{*}SOURCE: Dominion Bureau of Statistics. Estimated as at June 1st each year.

TABLE II

ANALYSIS OF POPULATION BY MAIN AGE GROUPS

Age Groups	1963	3	196	88
Under 5 years	596,395	10.5%	544,435	7.9%
5 - 9	645,861	11.3%	774,119	11.2%
10 - 14	552,334	9.7%	712,326	10.3%
15 - 19	464,105	8.1%	593,191	8.6%
subtotal	2,258,695	39.6%	2,624,071	38.0%
20 - 59	2,802,315	49.1%	3,476,898	50.3%
60 - 64	197,407	3.5%	241,948	3.5%
subtotal	2,999,722	52.6%	3,718,846	53.8%
65 - 69	156,435	2.8%	202,081	2.9%
70 and over	286,650	5.0%	364,909	5.3%
subtotal	443,085	7.8%	566,990	8.2%
TOTAL	5,701,502 ⁽¹⁾	100.0%	6,909,907 ⁽²⁾	100.0%

SOURCE: Returns of Assessment prepared in 1963 and 1968.

 ¹⁹⁶³ data excludes population of 68 municipalities due to unavailability and/or reliability of data.
 1968 data slightly exceeds data in Text and Tables due to minor revisions.

TABLE III

TOTAL TAXATION 1964-1968

	1964	1965	1966	1967	1968
METROPOLITAN TORONTO A	REA				
AMOUNT (\$000) PER CAPITA (\$) ANNUAL INCREASE	178	336,950 189 10.0%	382,263 209 13.4%	453,655 246 18.6%	464,784 248 2.5%
CITIES AND SEPARATED TO	WNS				
AMOUNT (\$000) PER CAPITA (\$) ANNUAL INCREASE	268,997 132 6.4%	292,372 138 8.6%	145	384,483 168 18.8%	393,154 168 2.3%
TOWNS					
AMOUNT (\$000) PER CAPITA (\$) ANNUAL INCREASE	86,565 105 6.9%	94,993 113 9.7%	125	117,284 136 12.1%	141,585 141 20.7%
VILLAGES					
AMOUNT (\$000) PER CAPITA (\$) ANNUAL INCREASE	13,754 82 6.5%	14,663 86 6.6%	16,203 94 10.5%	18,014 105 11.1%	97
TOWNSHIPS AND IMPROVEN	MENT DIST	RICTS			
AMOUNT (\$000) PER CAPITA (\$) ANNUAL INCREASE	129,801 86 5.6%	88	98	178,840 112 16.6%	102
ALL MUNICIPALITIES					
AMOUNT (\$000) PER CAPITA (\$) ANNUAL INCREASE	805,237 127 8.1%	877,769 135 9.0%	148	1,152,277 171 17.6%	1,169,458 169 1.5%

NOTE: Slight discrepancies due to rounding; Annual Increases are based on Total Taxation Amounts. Unadjusted for changes in status.

TABLE IV

CURRENT COLLECTIONS

	Current Collections as percent of total tax roll
Metropolitan Toronto Area	95.73%
Cities	96.18%
Towns	94.09%
Villages	90.19%
Townships and Improvement Districts	88.06%
All Municipalities	94.58%

TABLE V

COMPARISONS OF ASSESSMENT TO TAXATION FOR THE YEAR 1968 FOR MAIN MUNICIPAL GROUPS

	Analysis of 1967 Assessment Used For 1968 Taxation					
	Residential and Farm	Commercial & Industrial %	Business %	Total		
Metropolitan Toronto Area	57.7	29.8	12.5	100.0		
Cities	56.0	31.6	12.4	100.0		
Towns	59.7	28.5	11.8	100.0		
Villages	70.5	22.1	7.4	100.0		
Townships and Imp. Districts	79.3	15.3	5.4	100.0		
All Municipalities	60.7	28.1	11.2	100.0		

	1968 Taxation						
	Rea	lty					
	Residential and Farm %	Commercial & Industrial %	Business %	Special Charges %	Total %		
Metropolitan Toronto Area	49.6	34.5	14.5	1.4	100.0		
Cities	49.2	33.7	13.7	3.4	100.0		
Towns	53.9	31.0	12.6	2.5	100.0		
Villages	61.1	27.2	8.6	3.1	100.0		
Townships and Imp. Districts	73.6	17.3	5.6	3.5	100.0		
All Municipalities	53.4	31.4	12.8	2.4	100.0		

NOTE: The relationship of the various classes of assessment compared to their respective portions of taxation differs due to the effect of the split mill rate.

EXPENDITURE PATTERNS OF MAIN MUNICIPAL GROUPS

	Metropolitan Toronto Area	Cities	Towns	Villages	Townships and Imp Districts
GENERAL MUNICIPAL ACTIVITIES					
General Government	4.68	5.94	5.87	7.69	5.26
Protection to Persons and Property	12.29	14.20	11.49	8.39	4.94
Public Works	11 97	13.89	14.73	14.13	26.24
Sanitation and Waste Removal	7.04	8.15	6.91	5.44	1 99
Conservation of Health	2.53	2.55	.74	.45	.43
Social and Family Services	5.95	6.73	2.57	2.83	2.47
Recreation and Community Services	6 46	6.12	5.74	4.52	1.91
Community Planning and					
Development	.82	1.30	1.06	.35	.59
Financial Expenses	1.75	2.05	1.75	2.90	2.43
Other	3,69	.82	.72	1.99	1.27
Subtotal	57.18	61.75	51.58	48.69	47.53
COUNTY-SHARE OF EXPENDITURE	N.A.	N.A.	8.47	13.77	12.48
EDUCATION-LOCAL CONTRIBUTIONS					
Public	23.06	17.76	18.06	15.82	16.94
Separate	2.00	3.56	2.51	2.31	2.51
Secondary	17.68	16.66	19.30	19.34	20.42
Other	.08	.27	.08	.07	.12
Subtotal	42.82	38.25	39.95	37.54	39,99
TOTAL	100.00	100 00	100.00	100.00	100.00

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENT OF REVENUE AND EXPENDITURE ALL ONTARIO MUNICIPALITIES FOR THE YEAR 1968

Revenue			Expenditure		
Taxation	\$1,169,489,443(a)	71 36%	General Government	\$ 94,856,617	5.84%
Payments in Lieu	48,725,251	2 97	Protection to Persons		
Ontario Subsidies	328,379,416	20 04	and Property	185,370,453	11.41
Contributions from			Public Works	300,699,219	18.51
Municipalities	5,808,208	35	Sanitation and Waste		
Other Revenue	86,502,385	5 28	Removal	104,333,247	6 42
Total Revenue	\$1,638,904,703	100.00%	Conservation of Health	37,791,526	2 33
			Social & Family Services	93,270,538	5 74
			Recreation & Community		
			Services	88,922,340	5 47
			Community Planning &		
			Development	15,298,452	94
			Financial Expenses	33,430,494	2 06
			Other	31,745,781	1 95
			Subtotal	\$ 985,718,667	60 67%
			Education Contributions	639,086,889	39 33
NOTE Statement Consolidates municipalities and all cou	Revenue Fund transactions	of 895 local	Total Expenditure	\$1,624,805,556	100 00%
(a) Excludes contra Item of \$50,2			Excess of Revenue		
to countres	ov,zee contributed by focal	municipalities	Over Expenditure	\$ 14,099,147	

				ANAL	YSES OF POI	ULATION, HO	USEHOLDS A	ND ASSESS	MENT		
	Womber of Nuncipalstors			Public ASSE	SSMENT USED FOR 1961	B TAXES Total	Provincial Equalized		ANALYSIS OF 19 AS PER THE RETUR	67 ASSESSMENT W OF ASSESSMENT	
	Men	Papulation	Number of Hnuseholds	School Support	School Support	Terable Assessment	Taxable Assessment	Residential and Farm	Commercial & Indu trial	B. mes.	Exgrapt
		l		\$	3	\$	\$	s	s	s	s
SUMMARY 1968	3										
METROPOLITAN TORONTO											
AREA	7	\$ 874 605	588 020	4 696 +03 180	431 904 532	5 127,907,712	17 682 440 386	2 968.832 670	1 535 071 717	637 789 (88	1 144 947 12.
CITIES	32	2,293,727	676,500	4,409,655,693	880,271,762	5,289,927,455	14,897,905,121	2,962,860,951	1,676,073,958	656,516,907	1,368,940,063
TOWNS			1								
Separated	6	46 773	13 895	58 649 117	8 414 314	66 961,431	236-039,508	37,473 976	20 958 880	8 027 210	11.299.320
5,000 or more in Counties Less than 5,000 in Counties	44 56	675 882 169 499	184 308 55 703	1 053 310 301	119 767 291	1 173 077 592	4 866 964 365	733 523 324	311 063 751	126 751 825	198 421 375
5,000 or more in Districts	9	89.942	25 226	183 752 175	24 975 20J 60 600 112	208 327 378 249 352 043	846,057 579 501 861 516	112 154 581	55 095 401	20 907 489	47 307 029
Less than 5 000 in Districts	37	71 928	21 398	67 006 698	14 552 940	81 559 638	288 792 753	109 224 562 43 742 147	41 047 483 26 693 541	41 815 3°3 10 492 140	44 419 345 21 420 477
									200-11-141	10 412 140	21 420 477
Total Towns	152	1,054,024	300,530	1,551,070,222	229,209,860	1,779,280,082	6,739,715,721	1,0\$6,118,590	504,859,056	207,994,034	322,867,546
VILLAGES											
5 000 or more in Counties	1	8 724	2 179	12 541 366	754 729	13 296 095	69 979 447	9 958 108	2.426.917	903 414	1 61 7 415
2 500 to 4,999 in Countles	6	19 180	5 500	26 119 626	3 207 512	29 327 138	117 113 969	18 936 153	7.451.141	4.948.834	3 705 188
Less than 2 500 in Counties Less than 2 500 in Districts	137	.43 036 4 833	51 478 2 316	156 (05 159 6 709 515	16 390 930	172,996,089	627 525 531	130 615 094	39 977 352	13 050 264	12 259 681
Less than 2 300 iii Districts	11	4 633	2 310	6.7(3.515	97 570	6 807 085	29 427 175	4 908 à %	1 5 (1 34)	471 524	791-209
Total Villages	155	175,773	61,473	201,975,666	20,450,741	222,426,407	844,046,122	164,418,371	\$1,386,751	17,373,841	38,428,493
TOWNSHIPS											
5 000 or more in Countres	62	595 162	170 874	742 047 675	84 909 963	826 957 638	4,096,282 106	637 924 482	1,0 383 814	54 985,512	117 298 443
2 500 to 4,999 in Countres	97	333 043	120 428	446 627 031	57 151 883	503 778 914	2 121 810 074	431 305 251	£1.731.443	13 674 710	52 477 370
Less than 2 500 in Counties	258	357 853	168 000	450 033 816	45 507 462	495 541 278	2 131 456 364	465 863 573	39 177,953	10 682 825	45 933 337
5,000 or more in Districts 2 500 to 4,999 in Districts	10	74,415 25 378	21,326 7,895	45 \84 052	18 782 497	64 166 549	223 613 582	45 226 528	14 927 676	2 79t 780	16 964 18
Less than 2 500 in Districts	127	102 453	52 256	24 910 838 101 165 889	4 696 581 21 278 821	29 607 419 122 564 709	111602130 535 613 191	15 264 655 79 345 312	1 - 615 887	3 615,675 9 735 315	5,306 956 14 848 578
			0L 230	101 103 404	61 270 021	122 004 209	303 013 131	73 343 312	11 00 1 505	7 () 7 () 7	14 040 170
Total Townships	562	1 488,304	540,779	1,810,389,300	232,327,207	2,042,716.507	9,222,587,247	1,674,930,201	293,897,555	101,490,822	252,829,065
IMPROVEMENT DISTRICTS	18	17,858	5,376	70,340,428	1,347,130	71,687,558	100,837,495	22,201,914	33,475,197	14,871,462	11,510,765
	-										
Total Local Municipalit es	926	69(42+1	2 172 678	12 739 434 489	1 794 51 - 232	14 533 945 721	49 487 532 0%	8 849 362 697	4 094 764 254	1 636 036 754	3 119 523 059
COUNTIES	38										

			ANALY	SES OF TAX	HOLL			,	u ŝ	
Municipal	County	School Beards	Special Charges	Miscellaneous Charges	Residential Property Tex Reduction	Adjustments	Total	Total Per Capita	Mumber of	
s	s	s	s	S	S	s	S	s	~	
JMMARY	1968									
123,417,978	118,218,605	252,261,753	6,460,107	259,348	33,592,667	(3,162,777)	463,862,347	247.44	7	METROPOLITAN TORONTO
214,155,888	Nil	196.463,698	13.214,752	3,241,519	36,176,467	(\$56,385)	390,343,005	170.18	32	CITIES
										TOWNS
3,707,321	NH	2,860,760	246,852	901 149,397	762,360 9,557,029	NII (165.592)	6,053,484	129 42 153.91	6	Separate 5.000 or more in Countie
40,067,179 8,741,034	13,339,859	57,699,819 8,846,836	2,488,200 646,519	149,397 54,681	2.943.649	(20,602)	19.031.625	112,28	56	Less than 5,000 in Countie
6.599.798	Nil	6,593,211	204,636	20,074	1.408.726	(7.002)	12,001,989	133.44	9	5,000 or more in Distric
3,744,249	MI	4,136,928	117,684	6,915	1,210,713	(33,959)	6,761,104	94 00	37	Less than 5,000 in Distric
62,859,579	17,046,665	80.137.554	3,703,901	231,968	15,862,477	(227,155)	147,870,035	140.29	152	Total Towns
										VILLAGI
399,748	171,394	823.514	46,170	Nil	117,677	(5,457)	1,317,692	151.04	- 1	. 5,000 or more in Counti
961,589	398,817	1,477,149	27,592	824	- 282,399	(3,471)	2,580,101	134.52	6	2,500 to 4,999 in Count
5,055,156	2,875,294	6,863,485	425,152	112,194	2,403,894	(29,821)	12,897,566	90.17	137	Less than 2,500 in Count
252.899	Nil	178,259	3,865	15	73,494	(812)	360,732	74 64	11	Less than 2,500 in Distric
6,669,392	3,445,505	9,342,407	502,779	113,033	2,877,464	(39,561)	17,155,091	97.60	155	Total Villages
										TOWNSHII
19,628,038	12.655,102	44,870,569	2,738,267	339,461	7,076,426 ;	(25,364)	72,529,647	121 87	62	5,000 or more in Count
8,766,610	7,837,582	19,271,632	1,298,784	215,570	4,319,739	(2,018)	33,068,421	99 29	97	2,500 to 4,999 in Count
9 441 518	8 948 601	18 985 762	1 130 023	474 529	5 997 451	1 574	12 984,656	92 17	258	Less than 2,500 in Counti
2,499,058	Nrl	3,533,130	119,659 1	1,532	1,103,880	230	5,049,729	67.86	10	5,000 or more in District 2,500 to 4,999 in District
1,275,982	Nil	1,253,751	13,249	3,743	365,218	104 654	2,181,611	85 96 58 98	127	Less than 2.500 in Distric
3,938,436	NII	3,559,642	99,040	7,979	1,563,241	654	6.042,510	29 98	(8)	EC23 (IOII 2,000 II) DI2(()
44,949,742	29,441,285	91,474,486	5,399,022	1,042,814	20,425,955	(24,820)	151,856,574	102 03	562	Total Townships
1,096,338	10,216	1,214,372	9,429	Nil	237,133	(15,688)	2,077,534	116.34	18	IMPROVEMENT DISTRIC
453,148,917	168,162,276	630,894,270	29,289,990	4,888,682	109,192,163	(4,026,386)	1,173,165,586	169.92	926	Total Local Municipalities
									38	COUNT

	STA	TEM	ENTS	O F	REVENU
--	-----	-----	------	-----	--------

PAYMENTS IN CIEU OF TAXES

CONTRIBUTIONS FROM OTHER GOVERNMENTS

2310128U2

TAXATION

REALTY

	W. P. C.	Residential	Commercial	Business	Special	Total	Canada	Ontario					ONTARIO	GENERAL	
	I	and Fe to	Industrial		Charges	Taxation	and its Enterprises	and Its Enterprises	Hunfelpal Enterprises	Total	Canada	uncond heral	Residentia		
		s	s		s	s	2	\$		1			Property Tax Reduction	Mining Municipalities	Other
							9	3	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	S
SUMMARY 196	8														
METROPOLITAN TORONTO	1	1													
AREA	7	230,571,892	160,380,461	67,371,331	6,460,108	464.783.792	3.958 583	7 603 821	5 745 196	17 307,600	2(4 905	14 011 410	33 592 667	Not	Not
(IT.ES	1	190 532 150	130 410 200	52 959 684	13 199 152	387,131 486	16 257 055	2 919 941	2 383 038	21 560 934	320 977	13 998 390	36 176 467	2 923 272	Nd
TOWNS															
Silver and	6	2 764 B1 (2 195 309	845.9 j	246 848	6 052 583	74 207	10 933	40 503	125 643	15.731	249 233	762 160	N. 1	
5 000 or more in Counters	44	58 662 415	30 0 11 599	12 691 093	_ 487 129	103 872 436	2 186 155	711 245	435 949	3 533 349	10 4 693	3 6 13 307	9 557 028	Nil 102 024	N (
Less than 5 033 in Courties	5%	10 404 092	5.8.1.592	2 196 854	650 405	18 976 944	152 465	93,100	78 075	323 645	4.274	852,065	2 942 682	4 771	304 81
5 000 or more in Districts	9	4 783 902 3 920 63 c	5 0 1 / 6 4 6	1 9(1 731	204 636	11 981,915	101 80%	198 786	168 039	468 631	1.126	497 111	1 408 726	488 562	No.
Less than 5 000 in Districts	37		2 / 54 033	955 800	121522	6 754 189	173 145	146 678	23 349	273 172	6 104	155 167	1 210,731	690 888	3
Tutal Towns	152	79638(5)	161 68.0	18 551 392	11, 40	147 618 067	2 817 778	1 160 747	745 915	4 724 440	1 1/ 334	5 586 883	15 881 527	1 286 265	188
VILLAGES															
\$ 00t or norm in Counties	r	80n 620	2 9 . 14	15 868	45 170	1 317 692	1.858	5 256	2 621	9 7 3 5	. 829	42 598			
2500 to 4999 in Counties	6	1 501 140	770 036	280 509	27 592	2 579 277	4 073	21 541	5 053	30 667	No	93 013	117 677 282 355	N - Nil	No.
Less than 2,500 in Lounties	5.4"	7 790 335	3 486 016	1 054 443	454 578	12 785 372	131 530	156 627	21 111	309.468	1.055	679 822	2 401 171	NH 10-654	NH 7.736
Less than 2,500 in Districts	11	221 043	104 402	30 532	3 680	360 717	2.2"1	3 701	No	5 972	25	24 655	73 494	NI	Nit
Total Villages	155	10,409,138	4,640,548	1,461,352	532,020	17,043,058	139,732	187,125	28,985	355,842	4,135	840,088	2,877,697	36.854	7,736
										1				00,004	7,1100
TOWNSHIPS															
5 000 or more a Counter	62	50 970 745	13 28: 460		2.789.17	72 190 187	12/303.	411.051	205.079	1 882 176	3 : 446	3 060 811	2 404 44		
25% to 4 999 in Counties	97	25 479 257	4 634 (6)	1.418.939	1 320 486	32 852 851	402.750	188 981	8 174	(71.813	121 114	1 615 375	7 076 426	No.	9.9.9
Less than 2,500 in Counties	258	27.612.118	1 145 92 (755 243	1 0% 844	12 510 128	25 10.	182 905	5 553	446 131	12 0 17	1 728 900	5 997 575	129.716	5.012
5 030 or more in Districts	10	3 62 + 797	1 589 109	315 732	119 659	5 048 197	27 354	15* 898	8 499	191 951	515	385 6b7	1 10 1 660	2 507 -18	Ne
2 500 to 4 939 in Districts	8	976 780	(4) (01	244 446	13 248	2 177 868	+ 161	5" 50 1	bull	96 661	No	134 430	365 219	249 157	Nil
Les Johan 2 510 in Districts	127	< 783 561	1200083	187 606	85 481	6 (34 531	19 (13	87 311	1.977	108 951	15 404	502 710	1 564 191	765 354	1,1
Total Townships	562	111 84: 258	25 197 0 8	8 345 578	5 424 888	150 813 762	2.089.611	1 377 7(4	217 182	3 (97 69)	/(8 t e	1.7 213	2 447 459	CSt 45,	15 001
														,	1.001
IMPROVEMENT DISTRICTS	18	676,357	1.173,827	213,322	14.028	2,077,534	12,077	11,895	64	24.036	No.				
				210,522	14,020	2,011,004	12.077	11,895	64	24,036	Nil	92,053	237.114	744,085	Nil
Total uncal Monic palities	46	6 173 251	675 - 363	116 902 659		1 1(9 157 50)			9 1 , 1 180	42 170 535	979 54	41.95 , 743	109 193 751	8 (4) 9, 0	23 125
COUNTIES	38				1	50,282,030					374,929				

					STATI	EMENTS (OF REVEN	UE (Co	tinued	1							
		COR	TRIBUTIONS F	ROM OTHER G	OVERNMENTS (Co	ntinued)					01	THER REVENU	F				
	ON!	TARIO — SPECIF	SUBSIDI	ES												2 2	
Roadways	Conservation of Health	General Welfare & Assistance To Children	Recreation & Cultural Facilities	Other	Total	Munici- palities	Total	Licences and Permits	Rents Concessions and Franchises	Fines	Penalties and Interest on Taxes	Income From Investments	Service Charges & Sundry Revenue	Total	Total Revenue	Number of Municipal tes	
\$	S	S	S	S	s	S	S	S	S	5 S	S	S	S	s	s		
SUMM	ARY 1	968															
13 844 535	s 280-350	19 500 735	85 340	1 589 028	85 904 065	NI I	1014/6573	5 440 131	1 825 493	3 923 200	18222	64,7,45	14 .06 no i	13 454 942	6.1 715 134		METROPOLITAN TORONTO
1,32,562	1 645 545	.0 181 528	J55 611	1 188 450	87 031 844	127 982	109 090,737	3 773 822	1 951 178	1 854 965	2 396 394	4 152 261	12 408 708	20 553 128	522 745 551	14	C T ES
					1		ł	1									
481 r-4 5 685 77 5 1 704 r-92 1 170 789 230 542	24 197	235 030 1 804 444 572 9 7 502 015 12 (348	.4 781 257 52. 176 64 48 684 80 114	31 827 1.0 800 63 83 4 215 801 4 194	1 584 842 21 434 764 6 336 033 4 416 503 1 309 786	91, 1759,670 710,009 Nil 8 10n	1 727 12 s 2t 831 h82 7,367,961 4 88- 260 3 597 368	51 105 1 371 954 1 32 256 62 576 73 570	20 372 419 525 152 476 467 631 91 039	19 194 201 2 1 36 114 57 441 31 212	49 268 728 145 238 849 109 833 93 67J	53 716 94 647	4 127 4 to 719 58 1 641 42	344 146 7 265 436 1 302 554 1 253 048 818 (40	17 969 554 27 647 899 18 121 223	6 44 56 9	TOWNS Separated 5 000 or more in Counties Less than 5 000 in Counties 5 000 or more in Districts Less than 5 000 in Oustracts
9.51, 39	2.7 (2)	3,477,841	5g 70s	746 759	J7 075 928	2 478 6-0	44 410 194	1+61 461	9 11 043	346-160	1 , 19 755	506 H 1	£ 199 479	10 984 264	203 032 725	152	Total Towns
53 45, 151 419 1 108 70 38 260	960 1 352 8 163 6 155	22 555 40 862 28 1	* 101 5 219 1 ₄₄ 15 16 283	1 415 1 666 12 815 4 345	233 591 561 379 4 986 96 166 063	17 049 (9 334 459 407 Nell	263 204 661 080 5,756 026 172 286	14 443 15 185 9 149 2 930	5 00 1 : 402 15 : •74 3 909	141 3.718 22.218 131	5 155 - 48 181 89, 8 # 1	7 532 4/4	25.20° 16.48 693.36° 7.32°	52 324 97 691 1 168 610 23 7 11	1 631 220 3 138 46 19 710 008 556 710	1 5 137	VILLAGES 5 000 or more in Counties 5 000 or more in Counties 5 000 to 4 990 in Counties Less than 2 500 in Counties Less than 2 500 in Districts
1,353,999	26,639	533,630	149,948	120,238	5,946,829	545,790	6,852,596	122,705	176,985	26,208	219,494	34,383	762,583	1,342,358	25,238,012	155	Total Villages
8 527 (29 7 107 708 13 191 501 938 117 465 442 3,757,203	13 957 1, 3 276 23 304 71 447 11 094 53,077	f 310 977 T91 214 762 374 229 660 57 771 208,090	106 898 13 137 51 393 16 86 5 68(44,756	96 630 71 018 (35 593 24 611 15 947 83.255	20 193 328 14 291 065 22 524 388 5 288 804 1 304 140 6,978,841	611 484 116 516 111 195 N 704 8,781	22 720 434 15 2 6 238 23 113 753 5 461 270 1,401 505 7,112,567	910 520 2 42 328 282 028 £9 557 32 472 65,509	91 725 75 710 52 924 86 119 20 619 55,209	57 295 16 15 13 581 11 45 1 995 653	893 594 510 776 566 559 9 705 45 97 (20) 0 75 446 38 0 a 13 : 74 1 4, , 7,421	2 508 942 647,416 613 912 565 425 1 , 180 238,200	4 715 2 15 1 617 81, 1 617 83, 1 617 032 1 226 43, 192 972 498,217,	99 625 856 49 676 901 57 24) 911 11,755 899 3,772,345 13,645,315	52 97 258 10 8 127	TOWNSHIPS 50 1 of 499 in Countries 501 of 499 in Countries 5 500 or more in Olstricts 2,550 to 4,550 in Districts Less than 2,500 in Districts
14 207 800	296 155	1 154 086	2 1 8 19	4711.051	7n 580 516	847 18	75 015 767	1 612 114	182 4	10 1,	7 A.h	2 + 145	51,4 25	9 86" 700	2.5.717.00	562	fota Towertips
134,915	25,164	37,372	30,457	1,717	1,302,877	NH	1,326,913	7,60G	20,235	2,597	9,947	7,258	175,307	222,950	3,627,397	18	IMPROVEMENT DISTRICTS
69 437 +50	5 520 074	dE 815 195	1 282 914	4 772 251	287 842 109	1 000 = 14	340 112 977	12 654 139	5 347 245	6 264 744	7.8 (5.610)	11 536 807	38 724 972	82 425,54.	1 592 076 218	926	Tutal Local Monte palatings
35,247,071	554,384	3,139,687		1,596,165	40,537,307	1,607,664	42,719,900				1			4.076,843	97,078,773	38	COUNTIES

STATEMENTS OF EXPENDITURE

										0.1	A I E WILL		AT LIEDIT	ONE								
											GENERA	L MUNICIPAL	ACTIVITIES									
	100	GOVERNMENT		PRUTECTION 1	TO PERSONS AN	C FRUSERTY			PUBLIC WO	DRKS		. SANITATION	AND WASTE I	LEASOVAL	CONSERVATION	OF HEALTH	COLAL A	AND FAMILY TO	Rv CE1	AECPEATION	& COMMUNITY	SERVICES
	90	COYERNMENT			Pare is			Sodewath	Taffec			Sanitary	Garbare		Public Bench							
	Age		Fire	Police	Inspections	sughting	Uncrassilities		Control	D.annage	uncasufied	System	& Disposal	fied	Inspections !	Premoura &	Gentral	Day Norseries	Agod Persons	Recreation	*19-3	0.60
	-	S	S	5	S	S	S	S	s	S	s	S	S	s	\$	S	S	\$	S	S	s	S
MMARY 1968																						
TROPOLITAN TORONTO																						
AREA								5 5 , 1141		5 \$5 65	1.0 467	.1 0 445	17.728 (88	. Fr Sdr.	1 (7 (45	5 184 52)	2".1" 4				4 + 1 - 4	. (64)
11.		05 Sr	3 % 11-11	355-15-3	. 1 *	1351	4,6 72	5 + 115.	54,17	5.5 , 599	10 6 0 10 10 11	.9 R. 7.3	r on the	444	1 414127	8 (5) 411	3"	sout go	47× 947	21.1 271		. 4
IWNS																						
wate 1	- 1	50 × A 3						1 -1 -65	1			131.00			1.749.4			. 1	21	,1 1.A	2.0	he E
so or concus Counties.	84	150 12 1				14/12		1 6 64 745			4 (1.11				220.751	535 115		5.1 /		5.716 " -	1.6-1 %	,10 P.
s has some and a		101				7171		1.95" 595		1.71.5		1 411 H		1.04	In To	. 2.5		4 < 5			1437 (8.17
r a Castro te		1 1 1		115 4.								9.5 9.			44 ×	1 440	40		42.4	11 148	0,74	12,583
ic this 5 000 is Ensure	17	1 1.	. "					171+(5)		11810	.0, 7 4	5.00 97.7	241-786		11 012	R1 55.	+5++	3 1	1 t _n .	1 1 4.	111 6	1 124
of Twis	152	11.86, 0 -	+ \$1, \$1	4 ,1 182	R ns	. ()	1, 100	231 5.	51,1	8.	16 (0)	96 (10	3.918.84.4	y 1"	13.20	f9: 100	100 >	.11.	QE> }s	9 10.	. 41	1.4
LLAGES																						
i cross c Court s							1,,,,1	1,15 11	tar	NI	11.1	1.4 / 19	No	ter	1 .	14			10	18 15 1	. 4.	. 5
to 1997 in Cruntes	6	211 51 1				18 **		811		. 1 193	41511	11 6 49	6 521	14.515	1, 54,	11.			* 1		1 +	* 5
s ther gen a Contres		1 51, 18.	4 8 5 4	15, 48 -		310.45	5 9,5	2 4 8 717	. 12.1	1 512	1.5.	5 1 582	14 S.	114	57.010	, 15	17 1 2		2 51	1 1 1	68.4.4	67 +
s than 2 500 in Districts	11	70,783	, 16,398	4,275	715	16 564	1,308	93 072	2,551	Nil	9.760	Nel	1 12,602	67	6,568	2,988	16,977		18,717 [43,584	5,701	3 213
ite Johns			4, 4	1	21.1	51+0"	2 11 ,	sut, 1	18 % (127.561	17 516	8, 52,	(10)		y 1		1, ,,,		1 12 502	'," + B	1 (528	1 1
WNSHIPS																						
of the total terrors		1 21 1			C1 44	57.76.13		15 9 , 42	85 13		16.1 78				1 (81	10 100	. 3	1.6	4 1			
11 4 9 cm (n)		44 -0 4		2. , 113				1, 7% 0/	+ 180			Or div.		4.1	1 49	16 6			25 - 125	411 - 97		2.0
st mid-store this	2.58	9				5 + +2		2 006 076	b 1		1-7-1-1	18.781			84-15	500				, eat 1" 5		I be
stor more in fistrats	4	25" 00	463.1		: :			. D24 - n	5005			1.55 80 -		17 99	16.107	.1 43		2.1				
utid wim Districts	A	1 "6,	1,4 % 6			0. "	5 818			15 15R	11 58 5	11+8	10 50	1.031	15 1 1	11.0.	11 -		1 78-			
stracts Detro	14	11 21	1. 16	11811	.1	10, 44	10 101	5.1 410	22 ,50	59. 10.0	17,47	+1	1.5.35		15 04	2 (1	. ,		2.5 % 1	, FE *1,	441	, , 1
et t Town town	54	1 81 55 2		1		27-111	1.15 105	0.640-12	151.740	11. 45	3 171 181	, 501.4	ic.		Has	•	14 1		1 , 2	1 1 .	(0.1	4.4
DEMERT DISTRICTS	De	4_4 _A	+ 7 3/2			. +**7	S1.	1 8	٥.			8 (5)	5		44.113	, 1						
own self, gradies	84.1	85 021 785	65 BSR 847	88 260 880	7 970 222	13 017 057	8 955 999	187 767 011	11 258 187	15 129 211	25 031 065	1 44 14	1,00	1671 -	15 . 141	15 010 5 A	1 75,013	12277	1 +25 0	008761.5	1 11	.,
UNTIES	30	9 834 832	L		1 207 440				61 512	240					- 60011	0.47	1.	11 000 010			4 440 040 1	
TOTAL CO					I sourede I				01,313	749					6 851)			- 11,000,219			- 1410,043	
0.0																						

	- 5	(Deliciancy)			rs .	CONTRIBUTION	ATION - LOCAL						,			ART MI PIC BOX	UEVER.				
	Mumber	Of Revenue Over Expend tore For The Year	Total	TOTAL	Rem ded n den	Linear Rep and	21 12 7	Teta a c	ELEPT AT	County hale of Expenditure	TOTAL	Other	In a for,	10 41	A. EXFER E	D : M	rie e t Tempo a s	encia ties	ordered and	Lifta	6 200-0
	2	s	s	s	s	Colleges	s	s	s	s	s !	s	s	Reserves	Attowances	On Tares	Barrawing	s	Othelepment S	Renoval I	& Zaning S
			-																1968	ARY	UMN
METROPOLITAN YORO		0.31033	5 () () 91 371	26 1 457 464	261.4	10		R 3 . 10	1,7 (1.4	N I			7 44 5			1 874	1,011			9,61	. 4 60 98
AHE		8 65 1 413																			
	12	1.113.465	5. 614 (86	193 029 154	5, 40	10.	1.86.0	e de de				4.27 (4	_ +4	· .		12 00	1115 4	J. trefe	4 4 1	1 17 8	. 0 4
TOV		1	1			t _{et}		100 77		lys	191187+		k 1 °		4 ,						
Sepin Sepin		32 + 31	137.481 ,95		0 -1	to.				1 . 5 8											1.00
or schans, a Con-	5						17,		1011081		4.9 , 304	n 113	12 d v		3	11.6	0 4, 0		-1 .		
Softer note of Dilli	q					24.1		262 1	17 0 45	F4 No.	1 Sut 373	10.01	1.7		8.0		1				4 3 11
Liss than 5 0 to an Distri		118 5511	11 188 748	1 . 8. 71																	
Total Texas	152	53+6%	202.50 027	81912551	1.131		1 1		· · · · .	1156.00	*1 t 8c	1 4 1, 58	1,		. ^	fi - 41	Mir di	. 1	r ‡	2 63	- 20
VILLA 5,000 or more in Coun	, 1	53,586	1.579.634	825,754	1,334	Nel	360.8151	28 807	434.798	171 068	582.812	5,1681	Nil	5,000	Nil ,	Nil I	8,605	Nd ,	Nil	MI	4,760
2,500 to 4 999 in Coun		(8D.157)			3,941	Nil	783 319	85,831	607 418	399.937	1,537,759	3,373	10 690	13 000	270	16.437	12,809	Nil	200 -	Nil	6,357
CONTRACTOR NO NE				1074.1		12		45 - 21B	0.01RI7	21 , 702	17086	481.40	151661		- 41.		1.7				2 10
Loss tim 2507 in Di-Di-	11	1 * 874	549 200	Te0 /	1 , 41		01.	*1.20	1 14.	N.	**A.										
T 4, 4 4		274 %	0.4	9 75 92	1 1		4 7 % to	4,5,170	5 116	16.0	, 155 +4	19,									**
TOWNSH	tr.	*****	8.753 Ba	16 8 97		tu.	45 14	6.0 1 6	. 02 25	11 (15 m		1 1 1 1				. 18					1.4
Constraint in	1		11 . 1		4 4	,	10 5		7 194 894											1,1	
thin 2 01 010 a	258		5 11.20	19.2 , 7.907	61 .5		1 7 7	1 11 18	16 5 51		K King In				-	8 18	* 1"		4	1.75	,
A Johnson Day			F1 + +	14 1501	\$		1	192 HI	11.21.21	N I	89 1 7	11.1.9	1' 011	A1 1					1000		40.00
. 5 7 to 41 (9 1 1 to)	B		15 1 4	1 41 101	4 150	Lee			2 12 4	5.5									1 .	,"	
ses than (1) in Distr			13 1 14															altr.		118 4	, ,,
I til fow ist ,	Se.	150000	, 14 (), + ,	51 41, 12	, 10 4		3 4, 1		1 .	Cr 143			. , ,		1 10		1 1 267	_ 410		118 4	,
IMPROVEMENT DISTRIC	11-	1,- 115	PI . 19	1 , 1			1 .		1	5.		3 444				4	я.			MI	4 1
Tot card Man sales	14	Lz 185	1.60 (58)	r 5 h 1 85,	> + + *	t .		1 1 .			6 41	1101	111 47			\$10.5	n *1.	.4 -	Tax Roll	. 4.11	1.
COUNT	38 1		95,165,309]								04 040 0274	615 102			2.288 231 [-						

STATEMENTS OF SOURCE AND APPLICATION OF CAPITAL FUNDS

							TIONS FROM	OF FUNDS						
	Number of	Finis at the Besinners		RM LIABILITIES ISSUED TO			OVERNMENTS		Prepayment of Special	Reserves &	IGNS FROM	Other	At End Of Year Not	Total
	Mana	Of the Yes	Canada	Ontario	Public	Canada	Ontane	Muni- cipalities		Reserve Funds			Permanently Financed	
			S	S	S	\$	S	S	S	S	S	S	S	5
SUMMARY 196	8													
METROPOLITAN TORONTO AREA .	7	10,696,046	3,023,194	40,149,295	46,114,000	4,473,876	28,311,532	1,473,324	64 170	7,097,651	19,548,372	6,507,600	22,008,390	189 467 65
CITIES .	, 32	7,116,861	7 776 020	1 46 260 825	7 55 704 191	10 516 987	19 482 040	I 462.261	1 020 005	12 486 510	14 205 226	1 2 240 212	76 011 001	,55 027 DA
	, 02	1,110,001	1,110 023	1 40,000,063	1 33,134,101	10 310 307	12,102,010	1 404,407	. 000,003	12,400,510	14,103,320	7 5 240.211	10,011,001	21141300
TOWNS Separated	9	13,998	Nil	569,000	361,554	3.462	168,116	Nil	NII	10.916	225.745	2.271	1.537.271	2 892 33
5,000 or more in Counties	1 44	38,745	1,509,767	17,754,948	15,966,230	873,243	4,342,488	45,558	65,725		3.809.874	499,420	23.686.684	71 015 05
Less than 5,000 in Counties	1 56	289.221	315.078	7.035.126	1.343.990	142.568	496,916	33.159	31,333	52,227	927.747	231.695	4.051.066	14 950 12
5,000 or more in Districts	9	1 Nil	292,772	901.682	1.010.975	95,664	944.221	Nil	88,759	362.355	954,000	367,691	3,963,760	8 981 87
Less than 5,000 in Districts	37	2,273	11,807	849.205	576,746	22,558	102,948	Nil	5,770	98,248	660,245		145,607	2 513,41
Total Towns .	152	344,237	2,129,424	27,109,961	19,259,495	1,137,495	6,054,689	78,717	191,587	2,946,114	6,577,611	1,139,105	33,384,388	100 352 82
VILLAGES														
5,000 or more in Counties	. 1	Nil	NII	Nil	NH	Nil	108,965	NII	NII	4.879	48.129	Nil	406,965	508 93
2,500 to 4,999 in Countles	6	NII	Mil	78,875	597,400	NH	27,366	Nil	498	33,035	151,119	11,344	451,683	1 351 32
Less than 2,500 in Counties	137	40,795	212,456	2,317,573	614,514	469,033	505,365	26,794	133,941	82,451	738,109	349,796	886,318	6 177 14
Less than 2,500 in Districts	11	Nil	NII	Nil	NII	Nil	12,841	Nil	MII	25,000	21,757	2,857	3,703	h6 15
Total Villages	. 1 155	40,795	212,456	2,396,448	1,211,914	469,033	654,537	26,794	134,439	145,3651	959,114	363 997	, 1,748 669	8 4 3 56
TÓWNSHIPS														
5 000 or more in Counties	, 62	889,560	200 749	21,496,847	8,623 207	144 405	2,354,890	437,776	444,766	4 405 074	2,360,548	1 200 010	10,740,818	50 653 54
	97	75.588	2.808				858,052	200,666		138,682		487,283	3,723,583	16 348 41
	258	2,207	Nil	6,826,164			2 649,211			12.270		112,710		
5,000 or more in Districts	10	NH	Nil	2.011.000	178,400	NII	315,594	Nil	1.000	8,393		11 324	631,664	3 4 5 7 4 3
2,500 to 4,999 in Districts	. 8	465	16,522	767,941	40,000	34,747	5,000	NII	NJI	14,560	94,527		143.841	
Less than 2,500 in Districts	127	26,195	52,319	1,853,535	130,200	16,774	463,170	Nıl	72	34,719	862,076	47,677	202,597	3 689 33
Total Townships	562	994 015	438,397	40,661,458	10,774,579	232,987	6,645,917	650,019	963,495	1,703,695	7,027,388	2,060,816	17,847,329	90,020.09
IMPROVEMENT DISTRICTS	1 18	Nil	NII	536,000	. Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil	NII	36,431	208.249	22,671	81,452	884 80
Total Local Municipalities	926	19,191,954	13,579,500	157,213,987	133,154,169	16,830,378	61,148,715	2,691,115	, 2,453,696	24,415 966	49,026,060	13,334,406	151,982,029	645.0,1.97
COUNTIES	38	457,021	Nil	1.849.317	4.124.000	353,474	10,450,196	, 32,886		9;	090,284		3.464.632	29 821 81

STATEMENTS OF SOURCE AND APPLICATION OF CAPITAL FUNDS

Batance At								APPLICATIO	N OF FUNDS							1	10
The Beginning Of The				CAPIT	AL EXPENDIT	ZBRU					PROCEEDS OF	ELONG TERMIN	DILLIVIES YEAR	055005050		1	200
naural,	synnial - are-r	5 tempers	Ar r	family, light A Vea to rectify a	10 (112710)	Ta v	Re realitys & climes a by brance	France ty France & Orietopnent	0.5+		Schoo beard	School bus du	Mon c gal	Othe	Other	funds All The End of The Yea	Aces of
S	\$	S	S	S	S	\$	S	S	S	S	Pubnic	Secondary	Enterprises			1	_

42 ft c		. '	1 -	15.440 x g	, *m	94110	10 +7 693	0 5/4 163	9 92 735	124 787 143	.8 02 (000	0.461 (4)	2 908 g t 1	J 161 ATS		1.155.3 €		METROPOLITAN TORONTO
, .	45, 1		54 2 m.t	.0 + 1 f*	$t_p = \epsilon t_n$, 1°, 821	2 876 358	17 756 313	1 105 99t	120 762 920	19 889 749	21 977 R13	12 531 243	1 40 13	5754 17	4 #8 464		τ, τε,
9:06:4 8:11 49:8:240 212:11 112:5:4	2 02.9 81 - 614 5 no 355	102 r dd 1 14 - du 13 - 844 - 57 874 - 57 874	508 820 501 446 1 8 1 9 4 471 4	842 5°1 4°1 , 1	NII Ni. 611 2 774	58 CBC 55 , N 1 254 N,	97 FPR 1 810 214 419 70, 20 , 319 15 + 48	96 000 105 34 25 000 2 34)	N.I 601 705 257 31 577 No	4 197 179 3 119 304 1 414 920	75 Nr	82 C(5 3 830 R14 2 13 4 1 (61 , 7) 106 000	80 3) 696 635 870 362 271 - 21 24 / 510	19) 1, 5 , 55 + 1 Nd Nd 1 345		541 54.953 74.275 78.711 1.961	6 22 51 37	TOWNS Superind Superi
			14 4 1	1,1715	,3	505	28 10 916	112 017	633 539	36 237 260	14 716 . 24	7 (07 0)	9 481 737	447.02	778.91,	×1914	5,	I to I is
(9.025 (21.98) N	3 ft 4 2 G. 45 RF. 24	281.289	218 0×9 241 1×6 829 76, 1 1 841 1,305,213	1, 0% 1, 0% 34 6 h 1 67 1	N: N: N: N: N: 33,433	NI NI NI NI	12 500 225 64, 255 7 4 19 141 533,279	NI NI 2 4. 8 400	N 1 N 1 9 1 15 N 1	259 913 485 853 3 971 342 66 158 4,783,266	N F 37 768 994 241 N E 1,032,009	N.I 111 . **** Nef	N 203 (1) 50 (402 N) 707,393	No. N.1 14 542 N.1 214,542	N I 41 (L) N 42,605	NI N I 26 603 N I 26,661	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	VILLAGES 5 000 containing to the 5 to the increases First the 2500 in Coefficient For 200 in Coefficient Total Villages
2.76 %7 2.76 %7 8.1 8 492.418 241.423 317.210	41 655 1, 660 11 , 49 17 164 25 1 1,7 14	484 141 - 110 -11547 -62759 -1447 -58146	5 . 3 4 . 2 (c 205 1113 (n c 83 172 78 7 m 1 . 12 1	1 911 181 16 237 44 8 42 439 11 884 7 (1	No Park 1 325 N 1 Nat (Note:	50. 1 N N 1 N 3 S 3 × 9 ,	1 253 676 156 359 91 268 151 401 34 636 71 577	100 294 119 235 Nr 21 17 m N I	41 612 25 422 160 658 N I N I	9 911 912 3 733 199 4,800,047 670 676 4)5 993 1 × 27 847	14 874 913 7 131 15 4 83 457 8 18 470 000 1 101 108	7 256 270 N 1 038 184 494 364 N I 061 000	7 461 227 . 98 968 169 984 IN 1 141 93 19 113	921 598 ± 248 717 2 501 551 No. 1 177 11 400	592 841 20 150 331 4 3 414 914 1 12 14 94 9	4 5 434 65 57 i 61 754 Nil 661 7 72 507	4/4 4/4 5/8 1/4 8/4 1/7	TOWNSHIPS Comment of the second of the seco
1.45 %	13.1	11 2.	13 1 8	59: 146	1,4	11 9"	1.770.855	4193,5	.4, 541	21 179 674	eN 596 18 1	9 355 014	7 H90 FRS	6 (87 640	11514	274 9to	2	Ter Track
165,176	15,395	35 733	31,740	Nul		73,152	1,519		13,203	179,680		40,000	222,000	Nil	NII	NII	18 }	IMPROVEMENT DISTRICTS
117 /18 707	9,1001		14 + 14	4 1 .3		5 21, (-	£3,030,540 ja	20 1		308 429 943 .1 3816 2 =			37 740 488	9 254 959	10 006 27,			English Show (

REVENUE FUND BALANCE SHEETS

	75	1							ASSETS					
	100			-		ACC	OUNTS RECEIVABLE			1	Property			
	Rumber of Municipalities		Cosh	Investments	Canada	Ontario	Munici- pairties	Local Boards and Other Funds	Other	Teres Receivable	Acquired Far Taxes	Inventories	Other Assets	Total
			S	S	S	S	S	S	S	S	S	S	\$	\$
SUMMARY 196	8													
METROPOLITAN TORONTO AREA	7		30,529,853	14,767,552	3,425,477	18,996,739	14,189	20,126,027	4 963,530	21,651,498	208,677	1,900,095	559,602	117,142 639
CITIES .	32		10,131,671	18,852,361	2,065,201	13,382,664	842,070	31,5\$0,929	5,725,027	20,601,814	213,480	2,409,654	2,859,377	108,634,248
TOWNS														
Separated	. 6		111,774	Nil 529 987	1 395 700	363,014	548 669 558	4 305 830	1 345 007	421,815 7 509 269	9,014	20,826	19,753	1,235,800
Less Roys Syde in Lounties	, 56		850,351	(0.200)	25.943		211.959	1 (28 637	20 14	3.426.944) 220	4 121		
5.000 or more in Districts .	9		601,776	194.000	28,775	1.034.880	28,382	202.573	131,028	1,164,621	75.674	142,774	97.823	3.702.306
Less than 5,000 in Districts	37		555,138	222,520	53,056	489,684	34,972	268,171	94,434	1,028,571	69,322	33,269	11,173	2,860,310
Total Towns	152		3,899,639	1,006,707	1,540.883	7.533 242	945,419	6,180,115	1,801,598	13,151,220	403,539	534,682	1,363,090	38,360,134
VILLAGES														
5,000 or more in Counties	1		30,932	Nil	Nii	64 852	4,660	10,834	3,577	104,923	Nil	2,611	9,372	231,761
2,500 to 4,999 in Counties	6		55,968	4,936	100	102,430	2,884	80,699	12,944	332,181	3,668	225	8.619	604,654
Less than 2,500 in Counties	137		1,266,175	19,092	27,724	863,250	170,615	816,892	138,622	2,458,075	32,289	5,566	120,546	5,918 846
Less than 2 500 in Districts	.] 11		95,117	NH	646	22,196	436	20,325	1,985	108,895	868	NH)	683	251,151
Total Villages	155		1,448,192	24,028	28,470	1,052,728	178,595	928,750	157,128	3,004,074 {	36,825	8,402	139,220	7 006,412
TOWNSHIPS														
5,000 or more in Counties	62		2,363,643	201,535	945,457	4,806 807	248,739	4,269,001	1,203,432	11,257,389	41,299	96,040	509,192	25,942,534
2 50c in 4 900 in Cir. neur			2 280 261	67.464	173 831	3 125 418	239 296	2 030 561	649 40°	7 400 08F	40 511	76 °31	100 102	17 209 000
Less th 25,0 r (ontics 5,000 or more in Districts	10		177 670	68 527 Nit	26.303	1.062.864	57.496	341,000	104.562	666.244	33.317	119.624	108 125	2.697.205
2.500 to 4.999 in Districts	8		259 296	NII	10.367	238.111	NII	126,666	28,718	426,290	10.577	2,447	28.379	1 130,851
Less than 2,500 in Districts	127		1,032,603	52,780	12,238	1,908 722	31,648	180,558	101,158	1,591,500	63,517	10,642	41,707	5,027 073
Total Townships	. 562		8,701,566	390,306	1,328,984	18,014,558	945.103	8,993,186	2,975,325	29,881,970	259,904	334,826	1,646.075	73,471,803
IMPROVEMENT DISTRICTS	18		277,054	49,000	4 970	135,376	17,521	29.003	23,894	118,570	8.405	4.665	10 962	679,430
Yotal Local Municipalities	1926		54,987,985 [35,089,954	8,393 985	59,115,307	2 942 897	67 808 016	15 646,502	88,409,146	1,130,230	5 192 324	6,578,326	345,294 665
SATIES		+		.1		67 + 17	11931			, + (n 25)				

REVENUE FUND BALANCE SHEETS

					LIABILITIES					70
Bank Overdraft		ACCOUNTS (AYABLE AND AC	RUED LIABILITIE	S					200
and Temporary Loans	Canada	Ontario	Munici	Local Boards and Other Funds	Other	Other Lookstries	Reserves	Susplux (Deffelt)	Total	Nen
\$	s	S	S	S	s	S	S	s	s	

METROPOLITAN TORONTO . AREA	7	117,142,639	17,808,663	20,472,775	5,936,754	31,361.946	36,213,117	199,678	2.092,622	1,378,924	1,678.160
CITIES	32	108,G34,248	5,627,553	21,616,972	7,889,823	34,077,002	20,445,992	1,998,572	521,106	216,239	15,240 989
TOWNS Separated Separated Country Less than 5,000 in Country Less than 5,000 in Districts Less than 5,000 in Districts Total Towns	6 44 5p 9 37	1,235,800 21,407,35 7,155,500 3,702,306 2,860,310 38,360,134	290,716 1 082 475 944,916, 109,937 258,139 2,686,183	313,300 4 728 937 1,272,987 1,094,862 1,154,175 8,565,261	24,020 1,150 160 307,720 227,779 77,239 1,786,918	284,999 5 429 424 1,107,589 792,292 494,799 8,109,094	207 938 1 492 244 707,745 707,946 478,563 5,594,476	10,825 769,017 89,456, 16,638 9,051	4,965 120 421 25 521 152,522 2,937 315,367	22,136 22,336 22,336 13 78,054	76 900 1 1895 3 6 77,585 578,000 385,394
VILLAGES 5,000 or more in Counties 2,500 to 4,999 in Counties Less than 2,500 in Counties Less than 2,500 in Districts Total Villages	1 6 137 11	231,761 604,554 5 918 846 251,151 7,006,412	45,202 27,032 1,541,741 77,900	31,300 182,266 1,323,728 94,332	3,028 23,652 357,030 9,382	40,509 96,796 656,679 29,136 823,120	99,964 42,016 305,837 5,945 453,762	11,758 3,246 124,177 6	Nrl 5,381 6,870 Nrl 12,251	NII NiI 15,199 NiI	Nil 224 265 1,587,585 34,450 1,646 300
5,080 or more in Counties 2 500 to 4,999 in Counties 2 500 to 4,999 in Counties 5,000 or more in Districts 2,000 to 4,999 in Districts Less then 2,500 in Districts	62 97 258 10 8 127	25,942,S34 17,298,080 21,372,060 2,697,205 1,430 851 5,027,073	2,485,442 2,672,855 4,003,472 (55,550) 267,437 1,172,718	6,482,592 4,183,056 4,001,813 1,226,837 342,729 1,547,096	1,164,842 590,059 1,325,017 19,652 27,082 192,257	3,768,501 1,487,388 1,507,410 382,285 115,724 460,570	2,693,153 1,264,936 02C,C12 131,443 62,735 444,135	164,278 219,897 309,937 7,651 NHI 7,776	14 889 7,283 9 195; 3,250 27,161 19,405	NII 90,003 11 1 NII NII	9,167,837 6,782,603 9,231,610 981,637, 287,963 1,183,116
Total Townships	562	73,471 803	10,547,374	17.784.123	3.318,909	7,719,887 51,228	5,423,015	769,533	81,193	90,003	27.737,766
. Total Local Municipalities		345,294,666	38,498,508	70,302,346	19,330,594		68.262,379	3,513.427	3 024,613	1,778,764	58.441.758
. COUNTIES	38	31 987,317	4,225,969	2,591,163	5,247 238		1,100,011	756,747	56,377	17 514	17 992 298

CAPITAL FUND BALANCE SHEETS

								ASSETS						
≈ <u>#</u>				CURR	ENT ASSETS					URE RECOVERIE		Ron		
13.				AC	CC JNIS RECE	IVAB.E				EV-E" OF RATE		Rec. op	F sed	Tu 56
None	Cash	Investments	Cenada	Ontarro	Municipall tles	Local Boards. & Other Funds	Other	Total	Municipal Enterprises	School Beards	Other	Be Levied In Future Years		
* 1	s	s	S	S	s	S	S	S	S	s	S	\$	S	S

METROPOLITAN TORONTO AREA		r 601 360	N1	1 281,762	11 1-4 82+	Nil	2 711 395	14 114 616	36 083 960	196 640 013	30 1 020 863	15 791 890	3.724 . 1.	744 118 521	13 1983514
CITIES		2 301 544	1 923 427	1011.88	8 155 784	2/13(2	5 551 292	8 253 372	27 447 869	123 958 207	261 11+343	14 "87 289	_1 5d 486	859 _1 + 76	1 345 005 144
IOWNS Septiment	0	1.454	Nil	No	188 446	Nit	119 725	110 81	440 487	869 1 7		19 € ≈	4,9,492	H 4** 4 2	1, 11, 2
h n er int of ntes	11	758 541	15 000	108 75t	1 621 129	44 267 Nil	10 107 596 486.144	30o 16 f 80.045	1,177,256	9,166,524	111 579 752	2.063.832	2 113 101 535,497 J	29 641,261	3,9 1Hb 60 62,931,135
Less than 5 000 in Countres 5,000 or more in Districts	1 56	203,617	115,000 215,000	NII IIN	66,298	Nil	198.287	70,775	553,517	3,225,239	7,650,341	654,029	675,968	31,732,128	44,491,222
Less than 5,000 in Districts	37	141,996	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil	91,054	Nil	233,050	2,929,477	8,486,919	128,680	410,712	14,643,481	26,832,319
Total Towns	152	1,118,815.	345,000	270,580	2,006,299	44,267	11,002,806	587.788	15,375,555	58,868,386	151 613.823	16 489 082	4.174 832	230 894,610	477,416,288
VILLAGES	,														
5,000 or more in Countles	1	NI	Nil	Nil	Nil	NII	23,035	Nil	23,035	231,220	2,155,859	52,500 25,474	Nil	1,427,349	3,889,963 7,630,627
2 500 to 4 95st in Counties Let's than 2 500 in Counties	137	54 124 65 312	No.1 22 709	NII '	131 934	Nil	23 410 192 062	N0 22.426	122 278	714 887 h 688 739	13.8 + 111	25 474	4414	9 15, 42+	40 va. 6 4
ers thin 2509 o Districts	11	N I	NI	hil	18(5	Nil	1 723	NI	1 588	132 209	(84 ы.	Net		54. A	144 +
Total Villages .	155	119,132	22,709	Nrl	178,547	NII ,	240,230	22,426	583,044	7,767,054	18,875.115	789,184	74.098	25,739 696	53 828,191
TOWNSHIPS															
5,000 or more in Counties	62				752.940	310,434		829,488	4,957,151		117,299,362 47 65 4	6,534,363	1 4 1 1	39,937,453	197,719,415
25.0 to 1994 i Counties Les itur 2500 i Clanties	,7 ,58	74 254	15 000 82 030	Nil 1 71	1 122 573 507 618	4 881 5 807	92 5 17	77.7(_	842 687	4 ,55 859					
(), chon officials	1)	238 194	NI	N.	212 266	NI	Nil	NI	453 663	2 165 501	6.503.19	767.236	1.26 0.7	ag et no	31 585 B x
2 1() to 4 999 in Districts	8	9,,74	Ni	N 1	5.004	Ni.	15 963	.85	3 642	865 197	2 011 10	2.4 70.	1 +0,1	5 (2) 51	6 , 19 0 1
Less than 2,500 in Districts	127	66,514	NII	Nil	235,723	Nil	143,632	4,022	449,891	1,183,192	7,033 571	181,669	222,872	9,802,270	18,873,465
Total Townships	. 562	1,305,429	1,547,485	69,436	2 836,120	322,122	1,355.259	1,038,338	8,494,189	40,501,553	235,300 513	22 154,830	4,114 997]	111,645,209	422,211,291
IMPROVEMENT DISTRICTS	18	Nil	Nil	NrI	NII	Nil	NII	NII	NII	298,223	2,566 259	15 000	619.929	5,163.351	8,662,762
Tital Line Merci, alitics		11 - 16 . 80	3 836 6.1	2 642 806	24 351 577	627 (5)	्ते शास मार्	24 016 540	87 984 517	32810 3.4 %	971 115 916		4 4 1 4 5	14	
COUNTIES .	1 00	426		010.000	2,134,261	8,353	518,729	14.000	3,342,235	6,531,313	809.717	A 061 015	14.704.248	94 696 768	124,946 196
COUNTIES .	38	426	,872	240,000	2,134,261	6,353	518,729	14,000	3,342,235	0.031,373	003,717	3,001,513	14,104,240		124,540 151

CAPITAL FUND BALANCE SHEETS LIABILITIES AND EQUITY IN FIXED ASSETS ALGOUNTS PAYABLE AND ACCRUED JABLIEURS Total and 0 SUMMARY 1968 834.9491 Nil 22,647,004 29,245,626 58,086,317 532,455,796 193,784,750 303 020,883 1,029,261,429 217,635,793 1,304,983,539 7 774,152 NII 38,305,227 4 863,389 99,751,348 418 845,811 125,512,661 260,571,722 804,930,194 413,323,592 1,318,095,134 22 55.522.356 286.224 8691 -44 855 (10 U 43 40 5 5 5 271 402 7.248 3 101 481 15 772 048 1 80 5 8 437 196: 1.985 5.015.077 2.178.979 48.545.544 111.440.229 59.709.960 150.740,501 321.889.690 105.981.054 477.416.288 152 an and 749 | 485 565 WILLAGES 669,683 231 220 403 201 3 889 963 5,000 or more in Counties Nil NII 430.000 430 000 Nil 5 185 151 1 870 514 7 630 627 g 2 500 to 4 999 in Counties 36.733.657 14.778.809 53.828.191 1 155 Nil 2.921 329.460 169.082 9.677.041 1.814.252 Nil

1784 . 15

1.082.324

381,754 | 1,223 | 11,152,139 | 595,857 | 25,852,178 | 44,928,100 | 39,265,264 | 229,472,240

984 771

81,452 1,329,312 310 552 2,566,259

31 585 866 | 10 |

4.206.123 | 4.375.187 | 8.662.762 18 | IMPROVEMENT DISTRICTS

313.665,604 | 82.693,509 422,211,291 | 562

18 873 465 1 127 Less than 2,500 in Districts

Sec.

NII Nii

Nil I

Nil

601,995

Nil Nil Nil Nil

467 960 Nil

13.721 205 Nil

81.452 i Nil

ANALYSES OF NET LONG TERM LIABILITIES AND DEBT CHARGES

27		RECO	NCILIATION OF NET	LONG TERM LIABI	LITIES			DIST	DISTRIBUTION BY FUNCTION			
29		LONG YERM LI	ABILITIES		Less					SCHOOL BOARDS		
Numbe	Incurred by the skyncopality	From Others	By Others	Total	Sinking Fund Requirements & O W R C Debt Retirement Fund	Not Long Term Liabilities	General Municipal Activities	Murrerpal Enterprises	Public	Secondary		Total
	0	s	S	2	S	S	S	S	S	S		S

METROPOLITAN TORONTO AREA	7	1,323,907,274	37 913	29,048,806 1,294 896,381	265 634 952	1,029,261,429	532.455.796	193,784 750	193 895,134	109 125 749	303 020 883
CITIES	32	809,645,589	17,289 294	11,687,906 815,246,977	10,316,783	804,930,194	418,845 811	125,512,661	123,266,928	137,304 794	260,571,722
TOWNS Separated	, 6	10,541,882	12,192	1.436.347 9.117.727	153.841	8.963.886	4.544.733	869,108	2,019,641	1.530 404	3.550.045
Separated	1.5	10,341,002	17 586 521	1, 147 7,6 , 36 723 479	3,302,651	233 420 828	77 €24 ORT	31 85C F12	FF 482 KFT	14 457 580	110 940 133
case that the top these	Sa.	55 261 755	46756 4	1 121 213 43 174 176	1 11619	42 140 317	15 771 4 4		11.85 - 418	6 493 46	L 4 T61
Saturation national Districts	9	.1 675,107	537.864	636 801 21 879 170	286 51 0	21 592 610	10 840 79	7111 AP	2 470 .7	16'11	F10 41
Less than 5,000 in Districts	37	17.051.209	581,529	1,582 676 16,050,062	278,014	15,772,048	4,402,115	2,949,306	3.880.187	4,540,440	8,420,627
Total Towns	152	335,015,637	23,455,740	32 526,763 326,944,614	5,054,925	321,889,689	111,183,123	59,798 656	87,206,177	63 701 733	150 907,910
VILLAGES 5 000 or more in Counties	1 1	3,220,347	261,747)	282,870 3,199,224	142,462 (3.056,762	669,683	231,220	1,510,982	644,877 .	2.155.859
2 1 4 179 , 6 untr.	1 6	5.614.94	13, 899	1 516 31 5 38, 513	195 392	5 187 151	250 307	823 108	955.000	1 151 014	2 106 676
Less trian 2,500 in Countries	. 137	. <5.815.960	16.025.970 .	7,405,479 28 145,651	839 036 ,	27,536,615	(89) 74		18	, 31	14 9 176
Less than 2,500 in Districts	. 11	1,081,116	123,780	310,767 894,129	NII	894,129	77,298	132 208	510,471	174,152	684 623
Total Villages	155	35,780,368	11,645,396	9,515 217 37,910,547	1,176,890	36,733,657	9,891,091	7,985,532	10.809 350	8.047,684	18,857 034
TOWNSHIPS			ь 669 181	18 509 337 162 384 218	1.216.150	161 768 062	.0 621 589	35 14	140114.	1 4 1 .	
5 (3) or more in Countries	62	155 ,29 934	16 837 133	6 381 178 56 4. 19	8 2 4	55 141 698	7114100	L PRB	pd 561 51	5.88, 7,	46 164 214
to produce of units	258	10 608 064	46 767 171	13 141 140 64 164 115	20.9.5	64 317 200				1.485 841	524 51 1
\$ 6 - Jun Durcin Districts		17 334,584	. 044.836	1 434 481 17 544,539	73.0%	17 471 937	8 185 168	.84 10.	1.55ct n .	2.746.64	
2,500 to 4,999 in Districts	. 8	3,998,691	434,071	60,746 4,372,016	47,514	4,324,502	984,771	728,412	1,603 718	1,007,601	2.611,319
Less than 2,500 in Districts	127	9,482,033	1,910,592	1,893,119 9,499,506	77,301	9,422,205	1,163,045	1,208,602	5 335,019	1,715,539	7,050,558
Total Townships .	562	283,845.609	73,663,184	42,322,041 315,186,752	1,521,148	313,665,604	45 091,912	38,563,392	144,838.167	85,182,131	230,020,298
IMPROVEMENT DISTRICTS	, 18	4,479,000	227,137	500,014 4,206,123	Nil	4,206,123	1,329,312	310,552	1,476.552	1,089,707	2 566 259
Total Local Municipalities	1 926	2,793,673,477	126,318,664	125,600,747 2,794,391 394	283,704,698	2,510,685,696	1,118,787,045	425 955,543	561,492 308	404,451,798	965 944 106
COUNTIES .	38	85,755,328	1,724,067	49,739,267 37.740,128	Nil]	37,740,128	28,790,947	8,949,181			37,740 128

	ANA	rioro i	OF NEI	LONG TERM	FIABILITIE	S AND DEE	SICHARGE	S (Contini	ued)		
			Net			DEST CH	ARGES FOR THE Y	EAR 1968			
AS PER CENT OF E		THIMES	Leng Term Liabilities	General M Activit	anicipal ies	Munici Enterpr	pal	School Bo	pards	Total	Post in a series
General Municipal Activities Municipal Enterprises	School Boards	Total	Per Capita	Principal	Interest	Principal	Interest	Principal	Interest		Rumici
			S			c					4

3.01	1.50	1.71	5.82	549.06	35,591,530	33,705,670	10,162,500	12,129,453	15,991,808	18,776,057	126,357,028	7	METROPOLITAN TORON
2.81	0.84	1.75	5.40	350.93	32,822,585	22,715,299	10,362,504	6,956,266	15,943,333	13,370,418	102,170,405	32	CIT
													TOW
1.93	0.37	1.50	3.80	191.65 345.36	442,695	274,752	105,661	47,288	335,207	177,415	1,383,218	6	Separa
1.63	0.95	2.40	4.98	248.62	4,423,086	4,162,909	2,842,520	2,421,046	5,848,151	5,761,968	25,459,680	44	5,000 or more in Coun
2.16	0.62	1.52	4.30	240.07	832,707 830,589	699,202 579 303	565,050	465,986	1,352,841	1,003,289	4,919,075	- 56	Less than 5,000 in Coun
1.52	1.02	2.92	5.46	219.28	319,922	211.160	264,666	201,403	602,921	438,702	2,917,584	9	S,000 or more in Distr
		2.52		219,20	315,522	211,100	243,679	182,215	534,793	478,435	1,970,204	37	Less than 5,000 in Distr
1.65	0.89	2.24	4.77	305.39	6,848,999	5,927,326	4,021,776	3,317,938	8,673,913	7,859,809	36,649,761	152	Total Town
p 96	0.33												VILLA
1.92	0.71	1.80	4.43	350.39 270.40	31,680	46,260	21,995	14,910	92,068	107,575	314,508	- 1	5,000 or more in Cour
1.10	1.08	2.22	4 40	192,93	121,685	119,489	51,152	44,047	166,057	123,883	526,313	6	2,500 to 4,999 in Cour
0.26	0.45	2.22	3.04	192,93	22.457	340.619	487,026	402,197	881,683	772,039	3,268,875	137	Less than 2,500 in Cour
0.20	0.43	2 33	3.04	185.00	22,457	5,417	14,812	7,694	40,483	23,697	114,560	- 11	Less than 2,500 in Distr
1.17	0.95	2 23	4.35	208,98	561,133	511,785	574,985	468,848	1,180,311	1,027,194	4,324,256	155	Total Village
0.50	0.66	2 76	3.94	271.80	1,253,244	1 039,973	1,128,719	1,355,094	5.383,792	5.587.651	15.748.473		TOWNSH
0.35	0.11	2 19	2.65	169.17	974,542	340 922	180,448	130,177	2,669,524	2,476,354	6,771,967	62	5,000 or more in Cour
0.31	0.16	2.55		179.79	818,724	255,128	169,473	195,775	2,887,381	2,985,457	7,312,938	97	2,500 to 4,999 in Cour
3.66	1.33	2.82	7.81	234.79	687,986	330,903	232.331	191,829	325,574	298,047	2.066.670	258	Less than 2,500 in Cour
0.86	0.64		3.80	170 40	98.029		37,409	16,236	150,108	124,765	482,269	10	2 500 to 4,999 in Distr
0.22	0.22	1.32	1.76	91,97	121,118	69,577	74,589	69,222	430,336	387,689	1,152,531	127	Less than 2,500 in Dist
0.49	0.42	2.49	3.40	210.75	3,953,643	2,092,165	1.822,969	1,959,333	11,846,715	11,859,963	33,534,788	562	Total Township
1.32	0.31	2.54	4.17	235.53	176,579	90.151	28,314	29,808	163,343	152,300	640,495	18	IMPROVEMENT DISTRI
2.26	0.86	1.95	5.07	363.64	79,954,469	65,042,396	26.973.048	24,861,646	53,799,423	53.045.751	303.676.733	926	Total Local Municipaliti
								- 1040			555,510,103	-20	Total Cocal Montcipanti
					2.886.150	1.433,996	719,062	370.764			5,409,972	38	COUNT

					ANA	LYSES OF A	RREA	RS			
lo monto	Number of Monicipalities	1968	1967	1966 and Prior Years	Penalties and Interest	Total Arcars	Total Arrears Per Capita	Total Acrears as % of Total Tax Roll	Allowance For Uncollectable Taxes	Property Acquired For Taxes	Allowance For Loss On Sale of Such Properties
		S	S	S	S	S	\$		s	S	S

30MMART 170	_										
METROPOLITAN TORONTO AREA	7 [19,822,382	3,293,869	1,267,647	542,894	24,926,792	13.30	5.37	3,275,294	208,077	60,154
CITIES	32	14,912.632	5,642,317	1,621,971	1,070,481	23,247,401	10.14	5.96	2.645,587	213,480	298,889
TOWNS											
Separated	6	325,983	97,223	29,647	22,718	475,571	10.17	7.86	53,756	9,014	16,247
5.000 or more in Countles	44	5,284,325	1,748,828	550,145	307,279	7,890,577	11.67	7.60	381,308	170,309	84,460
Less than 5 000 in Counties	56	1,796,474	766,472	406,508	174,087	3,143,541	18.55	16.52	116,597	79,220	28,213
5.000 or more in Districts	9	703,549	382,552	61,131	39,915	1,187,147	13.20	9.89	22,526	75,674	23,228
Less than 5,000 in Districts	37	633,525	306,303	173,273	60,256	1,173,357	16.31	17,35	144,786	69,322	123,201
Total Towns	152	8,743,856	3,301,378	1,220,704	604,255	13,870,193	13.16	9.38	718,973	403,539	275,349
VILLAGES		85,578	13,817	2,887	0.044	104,923	10.00		Nil	NII	Nil
5,000 or more in Counties	-1				2,641		12.03	7.96	2.259		
2,500 to 4,999 in Counties	6	1,322,172	73,747 654,524	28,005 382,751	9,104	2,496,418	17.44 17.45	19.36	38.343	3,668	
Less than 2,500 in Counties	137	52.071	28,058	24,351			23:70			32,289	12,032 Nil
Less than 2,500 in Districts	11	52,071	28,058	24,351	10,050	114,530	23:70	31:75	5,635	868	Nil
Total Villages	155	1,683,405	770,146	437,994	158.766	3,050,311	17.35	17,78	46,237	36,825	12,045
TOWNSHIPS											
5,000 or more in Counties	62	7,239,207	2,527,851	1,100,059	552,696	11,419,813	19.18	15.75	162,424	41,299	64,056
2,500 to 4,999 in Counties	97	4,429,905	1,802,637	909,849	360,441	7,502,832	22.53	22.69	92,846	46,511	9,826
Less than 2,500 in Counties .	258	4,873,198	2,201,880	1,206,624	421,081	8,702,781	24.32	26,38	172,221	64,683	10,847
5,000 or more in Districts	10	496,366	226,943	86,611	45,449	855,369	11.49	16.94	189,125	33,317	274,021
2,500 to 4,999 in Districts	8	299,458	131,690	101,502	35,877	568,527	22.40	26.06	142,237	10,577	82,064
Less than 2,500 in Districts	127	958,170	479,579	253,844	96,500	1,788,093	17.45	29.59	197,521	63,517	131,632
Total Townships	562	18,296,302	7,370,580	3.658,489	1,512,044	30,837,415	20.72	20.31	956,374	259,904	572,446
IMPROVEMENT DISTRICTS	18	83,244	64,092	39,338	7,229	193,903	10.86	9.33	75,333	8,405	13,794
Total Local Municipalities	926	63,541,821	20,442,382	8,246,143	3,695,669	96,126,015	13.92	8,19	7,717,798	1,130,230	1,232,677
COUNTIES	38					2,018,256					



